

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2014.21.039

流程管理配合肠内营养对大肠癌手术患者的临床效果 *

肖波¹ 王培芹¹ 王婷¹ 耿伟^{1△} 郭晓东²

(1 吉林大学附属第一医院 吉林 长春 130021; 2 解放军第 302 医院 北京 100039)

摘要 目的:探讨流程管理的护理模式对大肠癌患者术后早期空肠营养的作用,为临床护理提供可借鉴的方法。**方法:**选择 2010 年 3 月 -2013 年 12 月在我院接受手术治疗的大肠癌患者 93 例,随机分为研究组和对照组。其中,研究组 51 例患者采取流程管理的模式进行空肠营养护理,而对照组 42 例患者采用基础护理模式。观察并比较两组患者的临床效果及对护理服务的满意度。**结果:**实施护理干预配合肠内营养支持治疗后,两组患者的营养状况与之前比较均获得明显改善($P<0.05$);研究组患者的平均住院时间、肠道功能恢复时间、血淀粉酶及尿淀粉酶等各项指标恢复情况均显著优于对照组,差异具有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。对照组患者对护理服务的满意度为 85.71%,研究组患者对护理服务的满意度为 100.00%,两组比较差异显著具有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。**结论:**流程管理护理配合早期肠内营养能够改善患者术后的营养状况,提高机体免疫功能,而且有利于提高患者对护理服务的满意度,值得推广。

关键词:流程管理;肠内营养;临床效果;满意度

中图分类号:R47 文献标识码:**A** 文章编号:1673-6273(2014)21-4147-03

Effects of the Process Management Combined with Enteral Nutrition for the Patients with Colorectal Cancer*

XIAO Bo¹, WANG Pei-qin¹, WANG Ting¹, GENG Wei^{1△}, GUO Xiao-dong²

(1 The First Affiliated Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun, Jilin, 130021, China; 2 302 Hospital of PLA, Beijing, 100039, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To discuss the effects of process management on the treatment of patients with colorectal cancer combined with the enteral nutrition so as to provide a feasible method for clinical nursing. **Methods:** 93 patients with colorectal cancer who were accepted the operations in our hospital from March 2010 to December 2013 were selected and randomly divided into the study group and the control group. The patients in the study group were treated by EN combined with the process management nursing method, while the patients in the control group were treated by the EN combined with the conventional nursing method. Then the clinical effects and patients' satisfaction of nursing services were observed and compared between the two groups. **Results:** The nutrition situations of patients in the two groups have improved obviously after the application of the enteral nutrition combined with the nursing methods when comparing with before($P<0.05$); The indicators of clinical effects such as the hospitalization, the time of intestinal recovery, the AMY and the UAMY of patients in the study group were better than those of the patients in the control group with statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$). The satisfaction of nursing service in the study group was 100% which was higher than that of the control group with statistically significant differences ($P<0.05$). **Conclusions:** It is suggested that the application of process management combined with the enteral nutrition should be well promoted to improve the postoperative rehabilitation and patients' satisfaction of nursing service.

Key words: Process management; Enteral nutrition; Clinical effects; Satisfaction

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R47 **Document code:** A

Article ID:1673-6273(2014)21-4147-03

前言

大肠癌是常见的恶性肿瘤之一,患者多表现为腹痛、便血、腹胀、肠梗阻等,甚至累及全身。近年来,大肠癌的发病率呈逐年升高的趋势,且大多数患者术后会出现免疫功能障碍、营养供给不足等情况,不利于术后恢复^[1-3]。目前,临床常采用肠内营养支持的方式以维持大肠癌患者术后的肠道营养,从而提高手术的效果。肠内营养(Enteral nutrition, EN)是指经患者胃肠道输

注营养素的方式,增强肠道的免疫功能以防止肠道菌群和毒素易位,同时保证肠黏膜细胞结构的完整,有利于患者术后康复^[4-6]。那么,选择一种有效的护理模式配合肠内营养以改善患者预后是至关重要的。流程管理(Process management)是一套成熟而科学的护理管理模式,该护理模式遵循时间和顺序性,对患者进行全面护理,既可以提高护理效率,又可避免护理过程中的遗漏^[7-9]。本研究通过观察流程管理护理模式对大肠癌患者术后肠内营养支持的配合效果,探讨流程管理的特点及优

* 基金项目:国家自然科学基金青年科学基金项目(81301239)

作者简介:肖波(1971-),女,主管护师,主要研究方向:胃肠道手术的营养干预等

△通讯作者:耿伟(1982-),女,护师,E-mail: laohushanhang@163.com

(收稿日期:2014-01-10 接受日期:2014-02-05)

势,为临床护理提供可借鉴的方法。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选择2010年3月-2013年12月在我院接受手术治疗的大肠癌患者93例,其中男59例,女34例,年龄分布在39-72岁,平均年龄为(42.08±3.47)岁。所有患者均被临床确诊为大肠癌,并接受手术治疗,术中将经鼻空肠营养管远端置入患者Treitz韧带下20cm处的空肠内,留置7天。将所选病例随机分为研究组(51例)和对照组(42例),分别采用不同的管理模式进行护理。两组患者基本资料无显著差异($P>0.05$),具有可比性。

1.2 方法

1.2.1 常规护理模式 术后缓慢匀速滴入5%250ml的葡萄糖氯化钠注射液,如出现腹胀等不适,适当调慢滴注速度。口服药物研成粉末后用温开水充分溶解,缓慢注入。管饲时取半卧位,或抬高床头,避免反流、误吸。输注期间每4h冲洗1次,防止营养液结块堵塞营养管。管饲期间每日行口腔护理四次。

1.2.2 流程管理模式 术前管理:①心理护理:护士热情礼貌的接待患者,使患者感到关心和尊重,增加患者对医护人员的信任,减轻负性情绪的影响,积极配合治疗;向患者介绍手术注意事项,告知患者及家属营养管可能持续的时间、相关不适应反应及需要患者配合的事项;了解患者病情及护理需求并采取相应服务以满足患者的合理要求^[10]。②术前检查:术前协助医生检查患者心电图、血管超声等。③饮食管理:高龄患者体质较差,护理人员应合理调节患者的饮食以增强机体抵抗力,如高蛋白、高热量、高维生素等,对于胃肠道功能减退的患者,给予易消化食物或采取静脉输注补充营养^[11-13]。术后管理:①观察患者术后各项指标:妥善固定营养管,防止移位;每日用30-50ml温水冲洗管道,口服药需溶解后注入,防止导管堵塞;若发生堵塞,经营养管口注入糜蛋白酶通管进行疏通。②饮食管理:以易消化的流食为主,逐渐加以高蛋白、高维生素食物,多食水果蔬菜^[14,15]。③并发症的预防:密切观察患者的排气排便情况;通过调整滴速和加热温度、经空肠营养管给予促胃肠动力药、辅助通便药物等处理逐渐耐受肠内营养。定时测量体温;定期更换、

消毒营养管等^[16]。出院管理:给予康复指导手册,提醒患者定期复查。

1.3 评价指标

分别于术前和术后检测患者的血淀粉酶(AMY)和尿淀粉酶(UAMY)含量,观察并记录病人术后的肠功能恢复时间、住院时间、并发症等。

1.4 满意度调查

采用提问打分的形式,对预出院患者进行护理质量满意度问卷调查,内容包括术前护理、术后护理、出院指导三个方面。每题设置三个选项,即非常满意、基本满意、不满意。满意度=(非常满意+基本满意)/例数。

1.5 统计学分析

采用SPSS15.0统计软件进行分析处理,计量资料以均数±标准差表示,计数资料采用 χ^2 检验,以 $P<0.05$ 为差异具有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 两组患者的临床效果比较

研究组患者的平均住院时间为(20.04±1.64)d,肠道恢复时间为(2.46±1.33)d,腹痛腹胀缓解时间为(2.80±0.67)d,AMY为(4.12±1.20)U/L,UAMY为(6.44±1.86)U/L;对照组患者的平均住院时间为(25.87±1.41)d,肠道恢复时间为(3.06±1.55)d,腹痛腹胀缓解时间为(3.33±0.92)d,AMY为(6.53±1.81)U/L,UAMY为(9.01±1.77)U/L。研究组患者的各项指标均显著优于对照组,差异具有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。

2.2 两组患者对护理服务的满意度比较

如表1所示,对照组共42例患者,其中23例对护理服务感到非常满意,占54.76%;13例认为基本满意,占30.95%;6例表示不满意,占14.29%;对照组患者对护理服务的满意度为85.71%。研究组共51例患者,其中34例对护理服务感到非常满意,占66.67%;17例认为基本满意,占33.33%;研究组患者对护理服务的满意度为100%,明显高于对照组,差异具有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。

表1 两组患者满意度调查结果比较[n(%)]

Table 1 Comparison of satisfaction about nursing service between two groups

Group	Case	Satisfied	Good	Dissatisfied	In total	P
Control group	42	23(54.76%)	13(30.95%)	6(14.29%)	36(85.71%)	P<0.05
Study group	51	34(66.67%)	17(33.33%)	0(0.00%)	51(100.00%)	P<0.05

3 讨论

加强空肠营养管的护理是保证空肠营养顺利进行的必要条件,所以护理方面必须严格、规范。发现问题应及时与医生、患者沟通,积极解决问题以保证肠内营养的顺利实施,密切观察患者的生命体征和倾听患者的主诉,以便采取相应的措施,在输注过程中,保持管道的通畅,准确及时记录输入量,严格无菌操作,有利于提高肠内营养支持的治疗效果^[17,18]。

结合本研究,两组患者接受护理配合肠内营养支持治疗后,营养状况与之前比较均获得明显改善($P<0.05$)。说明术后早

期肠内营养支持可以提高患者机体的免疫力,增加对手术的耐受性,从而改善预后,提高手术成功率。本文结果显示,研究组患者的平均住院时间、肠道功能恢复时间、血淀粉酶及尿淀粉酶等各项指标恢复情况均显著优于对照组($P<0.05$)。提示我们,对照组患者对护理服务的满意度为85.71%,研究组患者对护理服务的满意度为100.00%,两组比较差异显著有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。分析可知,采用流程管理的护理模式引导患者理智的应对疾病,向患者介绍疾病的相关知识和治疗的不同阶段应注意的事项,做好患者家属的思想工作;心理管理帮助患者树立战胜疾病的信心,消除影响心理健康的不利因素,释放心中的

负性情绪,从而减轻心理压力,以积极的心态面对疾病,不仅提高了患者术后的生存质量,也提高了患者对护理服务的满意度^[19]。此外,流程管理促进医生与患者之间建立和谐的医患关系,从而提高患者治疗的依从性,有利于患者术后尽快恢复^[20]。

综上所述,流程管理的护理模式配合早期肠内营养有利于改善患者术后的营养状况,提高机体免疫功能,而且有利于提高患者对护理服务的满意度,值得推广。

参考文献(References)

- [1] Nespoli L, Coppola S, Gianotti L. The role of the enteral route and the composition of feeds in the nutritional support of malnourished surgical patients[J]. Nutrients, 2012, 4(9): 1230-1236
- [2] Racco M. An enteral nutrition protocol to improve efficiency in achieving nutritional goals[J]. Crit Care Nurse, 2012, 32(4): 72-75
- [3] 秦震声,赵耀,周宝祥,等.不同肠内营养对结肠癌患者围手术期肠粘膜屏障与肠形态的影响[J].现代生物医学进展,2013,13(10):1914-1917
Qin Zhen-sheng, Zhao Yao, Zhou Bao-xiang, et al. Study of Different Enteral Nutrition on Bacterial Translocation and Intestinal Morphology during the Intraoperative Period[J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2013, 13(10): 1914-1917
- [4] Rubinsky MD, Clark AP. Early enteral nutrition in critically ill patients[J]. Dimens Crit Care Nurs, 2012, 31(5): 267-274
- [5] 董峰,马君俊,冯波,等.两种营养支持方式在胃肠道肿瘤患者术后的疗效对比研究[J].现代生物医学进展,2013,13(21):4056-4059
Dong Feng, Ma Jun-jun, Feng Bo, et al. Curative Effect Comparison on Two Way of Nutritional Support in Patients with Gastrointestinal Tumor Postoperative [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2013, 13 (21): 4056-4059
- [6] Milke García P. Gastrointestinal reactions in patients with enteral nutrition: Are they related solely to this type of feeding or rather to the concomitant use of medications [J]. Rev Gastroenterol Mex, 2012, 77 (4): 159-160
- [7] Raslan M, Gonzalez M C, Gonçalves Dias M C, et al. Comparison of nutritional risk screening tools for predicting clinical outcomes in hospitalized patients[J]. Nutrition, 2010, 26(7): 721-726
- [8] 汪睿,朱俊东.围手术期免疫增强型肠内营养对大肠癌患者免疫功能的影响[J].现代生物医学进展,2010,10(20):3876-3879
Wang Rui, Zhu Jun-dong. Perioperative immune enhanced enteral nutrition on the immune function of patients with colorectal cancer[J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2010, 10(20): 3876-3879
- [9] Sorensen J, Kondrup J, Prokopowicz J, et al. Euro OOPS: an interna-
- tional, multicentre study to implement nutritional risk screening and evaluate clinical outcome[J]. Clinical nutrition, 2008, 27(3): 340-349
- [10] 邓蛟,杨静,杨荣.肠内营养制剂在结直肠癌术前肠道准备中的应用研究[J].现代生物医学进展,2010,10(18):3497-3501
Deng Jiao, Yang Jing, Yang Rong. Application of enteral nutrition on the preparation of colorectal operations [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2010, 10(18): 3497-3501
- [11] Tous Romero MC, Alarcón del Agua I, Parejo Campos J, et al. Comparison of two types of surgical gastrostomies, open and laparoscopic in home enteral nutrition[J]. Nutr Hosp, 2012, 27(4): 1304-1308
- [12] Imed FA, Bataiche, Pharm D, et al. Critical illness,gastrointestinal complications, and medication therapy during enteral feeding in critically ill adult patients[J]. Nutr Clin Pract, 2010, 25(1): 32-49
- [13] Takeuchi Y, Yamamura T, Takahashi S, et al. Long-term enteral immunonutrition containing lactoferrin in tube-fed bedridden patients: immunological and nutritional status[J]. J Am Coll Nutr, 2012, 31(3): 206-213
- [14] Fijlstra M, Schierbeek H, Voortman G, et al. Continuous enteral administration can enable normal amino acid absorption in rats with methotrexate-induced gastrointestinal mucositis[J]. J Nutr, 2012, 142 (11): 1983-1990
- [15] Kiss CM, Byham-Gray L, Denmark R, et al. The impact of implementation of a nutrition support algorithm on nutrition care outcomes in an intensive care unit [J]. Nutr Clin Pract, 2012, 27(6): 793-801
- [16] Dervan N, Dowsett J, Gleeson E, et al. Evaluation of over- and underfeeding following the introduction of a protocol for weaning from parenteral to enteral nutrition in the intensive care unit [J]. Nutr Clin Pract, 2012, 27(6): 781-787
- [17] Marie-Laure, Botton A N P. Surgery in esophageal and gastric cancer patients: what is the role for nutrition support in your daily practice? [J]. Annals of surgical oncology, 2012, 19(7): 2128-2134
- [18] Hulsewe KW, van ABA, von MMF, et al. Nutritional depletion and dietary manipulation: effects on the immune response [J]. World J Surg, 1999, 23(6): 536-544
- [19] Arabi YM, Haddad SH, Aldawood AS, et al. Permissive underfeeding versus target enteral feeding in adult critically ill patients (Permit Trial): a study protocol of a multicenter randomized controlled trial [J]. Trials, 2012, 12(13): 191
- [20] Lidder P, Flanagan D, Flening S, et al. Improved glucose control associated with i.v.chromium administration in two patients receiving enteral nutrition[J]. Br J Nutr, 2010, 103(11): 1635-1641

(上接第 4094 页)

- [16] El-Sayed MI, Maximous DW, Zakhary MM, et al. Biological markers and response to neoadjuvant taxane-based chemotherapy in patients with locally advanced breast cancer [J]. ISRN Oncol, 2012, 2012: 245891
- [17] Allis S, Reali A, Mortellaro G, et al. Should radiotherapy after primary systemic therapy be administered with the same recommendations made for operable breast cancer patients who receive surgery as first treatment? A critical review[J]. Tumori, 2012, 98(5): 543-549
- [18] Moon HG, Yi JK, Kim HS, et al. Phosphorylation of p90RSK is associated with increased response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in ER-positive breast cancer[J]. BMC Cancer, 2012, 12: 585
- [19] He J, Chen W, Wu H, et al. Downregulation of BCSG1 may correlate with better outcome of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for triple-negative breast cancer[J]. Oncol Lett, 2012, 4(6): 1209-1212
- [20] Gupta N, Goswami B, Mittal P. Effect of standard anthracycline based neoadjuvant chemotherapy on circulating levels of serum IL-6 in patients of locally advanced carcinoma breast-a prospective study [J]. Int J Surg, 2012, 10(10): 638-640