

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2018.07.034

## 双水平气道正压通气联合舒利迭对 COPD 合并呼吸衰竭患者呼吸衰竭症状、免疫功能及生活质量的影响 \*

孙 杰<sup>1</sup> 李昌波<sup>1</sup> 汪 煜<sup>1</sup> 胡新春<sup>1</sup> 代 柳<sup>2</sup>

(1 内江市第二人民医院呼吸内科 四川 内江 641000; 2 内江市第二人民医院 ICU 四川 内江 641000)

**摘要 目的:**探讨双水平气道正压通气(Bi-PAP)联合舒利迭对慢性阻塞性肺疾病(COPD)合并 II 型呼吸衰竭患者呼吸衰竭症状、免疫功能以及生活质量的影响。**方法:**按照随机数字表法将 2012 年 6 月至 2016 年 12 月间我院收治的 157 例 COPD 合并 II 型呼吸衰竭患者分为观察组(n=79)和对照组(n=78),对照组患者在平喘、解痉、化痰、抗感染等常规治疗同时联合 Bi-PAP 治疗,观察组患者在对照组治疗方案的基础上给予舒利迭治疗。比较两组患者治疗前后呼吸衰竭症状、免疫功能以及生活质量改善情况。**结果:**观察组治疗后有效率为 89.87%(71/79),显著高于对照组的 73.08%(57/78)( $P<0.05$ )。治疗后两组患者的呼吸、心率、动脉二氧化碳分压较治疗前降低,动脉氧分压较治疗前升高,且观察组患者呼吸、心率、动脉二氧化碳分压低于对照组,动脉氧分压高于对照组(均  $P<0.05$ )。治疗后两组患者血清免疫球蛋白 M(IgM)、免疫球蛋白 G(IgG)以及免疫球蛋白 A(IgA)水平均较治疗前明显升高,且观察组患者治疗后的 IgM、IgA、IgG 水平高于对照组(均  $P<0.05$ )。随着时间的推移,两组患者治疗后的圣·乔治医院呼吸问卷(SGRQ)评分逐渐降低,且观察组治疗后 3 个月、6 个月的 SGRQ 评分均低于对照组(均  $P<0.05$ )。**结论:**Bi-PAP 联合舒利迭能明显改善 COPD 合并 II 型呼吸衰竭患者呼吸衰竭症状,提高机体免疫力和患者的生活质量,临床应用价值高。

**关键词:**双水平气道正压通气;舒利迭;慢性阻塞性肺疾病;II 型呼吸衰竭;免疫功能;生活质量

**中图分类号:**R563.8 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2018)07-1356-04

## Effect of Bi-Level Positive Airway Pressure Combined with Seretide on Immune Function, Quality of Life and Respiratory Failure Symptoms of Patients with COPD Complicated with Respiratory Failure\*

SUN Jie<sup>1</sup>, LI Chang-bo<sup>1</sup>, WANG Yu<sup>1</sup>, HU Xin-chun<sup>1</sup>, DAI Liu<sup>2</sup>

(1 Department of Respiratory, The Second People's Hospital of Neijiang, Neijiang, Sichuan, 641000, China;

2 Department of ICU, The Second People's Hospital of Neijiang, Neijiang, Sichuan, 641000, China)

**ABSTRACT Objective:** To investigate effect of bi-level positive airway pressure combined with seretide on immune function, quality of life and respiratory failure symptoms of patients with COPD complicated with type II respiratory failure. **Methods:** 157 patients with COPD complicated with type II respiratory failure in our hospital from June 2012 to December 2016 were divided into observation group (n=79) and control group (n=78) according to the random number table method, the patients in the control group were treated with routine treatment such as relieving asthma, relieving spasm, resolving phlegm and anti infection, and combined with Bi-PAP treatment, the patients in the observation group were treated with seretide treatment on the basis of the treatment plan in the control group. Respiratory failure symptoms, immune function and quality of life were compared between the two groups before and after treatment. **Results:** The effective rate of the observation group after treatment was 89.87% (71/79), which was significantly higher than that of the control group 73.08% (57/78)( $P<0.05$ ). After treatment, the respiratory rate, heart rate, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the two groups were lower than before treatment, and the arterial partial pressure of oxygen was higher than before treatment, and the respiratory rate, heart rate and arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide in the observation group were lower than those in the control group, and the arterial partial pressure of oxygen was higher than that of the control group (all  $P<0.05$ ). After treatment, levels of serum immunoglobulin M (IgM), immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin A (IgA) of patients in two groups were significantly higher than those before treatment, and the levels of IgM, IgA and IgG in the observation group after treatment were higher than those in the control group (all  $P<0.05$ ). Over time, the St. George Hospital Respiratory Questionnaire (SGRQ) score in the two groups after treatment was decreased gradually, and the SGRQ scores of the observation group at 3 months and 6 months after treatment were lower than that of the control group (all  $P<0.05$ ). **Conclusion:** Bi-PAP combined with Seretide can significantly improve the symptoms of respiratory failure in patients with COPD complicated with type II respiratory failure, improve immune function and quality of life of patients, clinical application value is high.

\* 基金项目:四川省卫生厅科研基金项目(20121202973)

作者简介:孙杰(1983-),男,本科,主治医师,从事呼吸内科方面的研究,E-mail:nzsgdo@163.com

(收稿日期:2017-06-16 接受日期:2017-07-10)

**Key words:** Bi-level positive airway pressure; Seretide; Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; Type II respiratory failure; Immune function; Quality of life

**Chinese Library Classification(CLC):** R563.8 **Document code:** A

**Article ID:** 1673-6273(2018)07-1356-04

## 前言

慢性阻塞性肺疾病(Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, COPD)是一种以肺功能进行性下降及持续性气流受限为主要特征的呼吸系统疾病,临床症状有气急、气喘、气促、胸闷,并伴有不同程度的呼吸困难<sup>[1]</sup>。COPD病情迁延将发生通气和换气功能障碍,引发不同程度的缺氧和二氧化碳潴留,出现高碳酸血症及低氧血症,并最终导致呼吸衰竭,COPD合并呼吸衰竭严重影响患者生命质量,严重者甚至会窒息死亡。临床对于用药物治疗无法阻止COPD合并呼吸衰竭病情发展的患者常辅以机械通气治疗,以改善患者通气情况。双水平气道正压通气(Bi-PAP)呼吸机是临床上应用广泛的一种通气装置,具有操作简便、迅速纠正二氧化碳潴留、明显改善患者肺部功能、延缓气管萎陷的优点<sup>[2]</sup>。沙美特罗替卡松粉吸入剂又称舒利迭,内含长效 $\beta_2$ 受体激动剂以及第一代糖皮质激素,是呼吸内科常见的复合吸入制剂,具有松弛支气管平滑肌、降低炎症性反应等优点<sup>[3]</sup>。研究显示<sup>[4]</sup>,舒利迭可显著改善COPD患者的临床症状,减少急性加重的次数。本研究采用Bi-PAP联合舒利迭治疗COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭患者,临床治疗效果显著。现做如下报告。

## 1 资料与方法

### 1.1 一般资料

选取2012年6月至2016年12月我院呼吸内科收治的157例COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭患者为研究对象,纳入标准: $\odot$ 符合慢性阻塞性肺疾病诊治指南(2007年修订版)中关于COPD以及II型呼吸衰竭的诊断标准<sup>[5]</sup>; $\odot$ 进入研究前的2个月内未接受糖皮质激素药物治疗者; $\odot$ 无双水平气道正压通气禁忌症; $\odot$ 无舒利迭过敏史者; $\odot$ 患者及家属对本研究知情同意。排除标准: $\odot$ 心脏功能不全者; $\odot$ 肝、肾功能障碍者; $\odot$ 神经性疾病或者意识障碍者; $\odot$ 高血压、糖尿病等急慢性疾病患者; $\odot$ 炎症反应性疾病、类风湿性关节炎等可能影响本研究结果的疾病患者; $\odot$ 肺结核、肺癌等肺部疾病患者; $\odot$ 其它可能影响研究对象生活质量的疾病患者。按照随机数字表法将研究对象分为两组,观察组79例,男49例,女30例;年龄60~78岁,平均(69.45 $\pm$ 7.51)岁;COPD病程10~16年,平均(11.72 $\pm$ 3.26)年;COPD分级:III级57例,IV级22例。对照组78例,男53例,女25例;年龄61~83岁,平均(71.28 $\pm$ 6.36)岁;COPD病程11~17年,平均(11.55 $\pm$ 3.40)年;COPD分级:III级55例,IV级23例。两组患者的一般资料比较差异无统计学意义( $P>0.05$ ),可行组间比较。

### 1.2 方法

两组患者均给予吸氧、祛痰、解痉、平喘以及抗感染等常规治疗,对照组患者在常规治疗的基础上采用BiPAP Vision型无创呼吸机(美国伟康公司生产)经鼻面罩气道正压通气治疗;将

Bi-PAP的初始吸气相压力设置为6~8 cmH<sub>2</sub>O,并根据患者的耐受程度以及病情需要逐渐调至10~24 cmH<sub>2</sub>O,初始的呼气相压力为4~6 cmH<sub>2</sub>O,并根据患者的血气分析指标以及血氧饱和度对其适当调整,但需保持血氧饱和度 $\geq 90\%$ ,将备用的呼吸频率设置在12~16次/min,将呼吸机的氧气流量控制在3~5 L/min。呼吸机治疗的时间 $>8$ 小时/d,撤机的标准为呼气末压在8~12 mmHg范围之间浮动,同时气道的峰压 $\leq 30$  mmHg。观察组在对照组治疗方案的基础上联合沙美特罗替卡松粉吸入剂(生产公司:法国Laboratoire GlaxoSmithKline,批号:20150324,规格:每泡内含50微克沙美特罗和250微克丙酸氟替卡松)吸入治疗,1天2次,每次1吸,连续治疗10天。

### 1.3 评价指标

(1)对两组患者治疗后的临床疗效进行评价<sup>[6]</sup>: $\odot$ 有效:患者意识恢复,能够脱离呼吸机进行自主呼吸,患者体征和各项临床症状得到明显改善,血气指标控制在正常值范围内; $\odot$ 无效:患者意识不清甚至昏迷,不能脱离呼吸机进行自主呼吸,患者体征和各项临床症状未得到改善,血气指标不在正常值范围内。(2)比较两组患者治疗前、治疗后10d呼吸衰竭症状改善情况,包括呼吸、心率、动脉二氧化碳分压和动脉氧分压。(3)比较两组患者治疗前、治疗后10d的免疫球蛋白IgM、IgA、IgG。(4)采用圣·乔治医院呼吸问卷(SGRQ)<sup>[7]</sup>对两组患者治疗前、治疗后10d、3个月、6个月的生活质量进行评价,问卷主要对活动能力、呼吸症状以及疾病对生活造成的影响这三个部分进行评定,总分为100分,患者生活质量越差所得分数越高。

### 1.4 统计学处理

采用SPSS19.0进行统计分析,免疫功能指标、SGRQ评分等计量资料采用( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )表示,采用t检验,COPD分级、性别比例等计数资料采用率(%)表示,采用 $\chi^2$ 检验,以 $\alpha=0.05$ 为检验标准。

## 2 结果

### 2.1 两组患者治疗后的临床疗效比较

治疗后观察组有效71例,无效8例,有效率为89.87%(71/79),对照组有效57例,无效21例,有效率为73.08%(57/78),观察组有效率显著高于对照组,差异有统计学意义( $\chi^2=7.353$ ,  $P=0.007$ )。

### 2.2 两组患者治疗前后呼吸衰竭指标比较

治疗前两组患者的呼吸、心率、动脉氧分压、动脉二氧化碳分压比较无统计学差异( $P>0.05$ ),治疗后10d两组患者的呼吸、心率、动脉二氧化碳分压均较治疗前降低,动脉氧分压较治疗前升高,且观察组患者呼吸、心率、动脉二氧化碳分压低于对照组,动脉氧分压高于对照组,差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。见表1。

### 2.3 两组患者治疗前后免疫功能指标比较

治疗前两组患者的IgM、IgA、IgG比较无统计学差异( $P>0.05$ )。

05), 治疗后 10d 两组患者 IgM、IgA、IgG 水平均较治疗前明显 差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。见表 2。  
升高, 且观察组患者治疗后的 IgM、IgA、IgG 水平高于对照组,

表 1 两组患者治疗前后呼吸衰竭指标比较( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Table 1 Comparison of respiratory failure indexes between the two groups before and after treatment( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

| Groups            | n  | respiratory rate(times/min) |                                | Heart rate(times/min) |                                 | Arterial partial pressure of oxygen(mmHg) |                                | Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide(mmHg) |                                |
|-------------------|----|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                   |    | Before treatment            | 10 d after treatment           | Before treatment      | 10 d after treatment            | Before treatment                          | 10 d after treatment           | Before treatment                                  | 10 d after treatment           |
| Observation group | 79 | 38.82 $\pm$ 2.27            | 22.34 $\pm$ 3.01* <sup>#</sup> | 129.60 $\pm$ 17.75    | 81.33 $\pm$ 18.09* <sup>#</sup> | 50.97 $\pm$ 5.82                          | 98.85 $\pm$ 6.77* <sup>#</sup> | 75.64 $\pm$ 8.22                                  | 42.09 $\pm$ 8.67* <sup>#</sup> |
| Control group     | 78 | 37.38 $\pm$ 2.95            | 27.64 $\pm$ 2.56*              | 132.17 $\pm$ 18.33    | 98.62 $\pm$ 18.12*              | 49.61 $\pm$ 5.98                          | 79.20 $\pm$ 5.71*              | 71.17 $\pm$ 7.89                                  | 55.62 $\pm$ 8.13*              |

Note: compared with before treatment, \* $P<0.05$ ; compared with the control group, <sup>#</sup> $P<0.05$ .

表 2 两组患者治疗前后免疫功能指标比较( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

Table 2 Comparison of immune function indexes between two groups before and after treatment( $\bar{x} \pm s$ )

| Groups            | n  | IgM(g/L)         |                               | IgA(g/L)         |                               | IgG(g/L)         |                                |
|-------------------|----|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|
|                   |    | Before treatment | 10 d after treatment          | Before treatment | 10 d after treatment          | Before treatment | 10 d after treatment           |
| Observation group | 79 | 3.16 $\pm$ 1.38  | 5.85 $\pm$ 1.50* <sup>#</sup> | 2.28 $\pm$ 0.79  | 3.34 $\pm$ 0.85* <sup>#</sup> | 9.37 $\pm$ 2.28  | 15.59 $\pm$ 3.11* <sup>#</sup> |
| Control group     | 78 | 2.89 $\pm$ 1.67  | 3.76 $\pm$ 1.44*              | 2.13 $\pm$ 0.81  | 2.66 $\pm$ 0.72*              | 9.58 $\pm$ 2.87  | 12.02 $\pm$ 2.95*              |

Note: compared with before treatment, \* $P<0.05$ ; compared with the control group, <sup>#</sup> $P<0.05$ .

## 2.4 两组患者治疗前、后的 SGRQ 评分比较

治疗前、治疗后 10d、治疗后 3 个月、治疗后 6 个月两组患者的 SGRQ 评分逐渐降低, 组内两两比较差异均有统计学意义

( $P<0.05$ ), 观察组治疗前、治疗后 10 d 的 SGRQ 评分与对照组比较无统计学差异 ( $P>0.05$ ), 观察组治疗后 3 个月、6 个月的 SGRQ 评分均低于对照组, 差异有统计学意义( $P<0.05$ )。见表 3。

表 3 两组患者治疗前、治疗后 10 d、3 个月、6 个月的 SGRQ 评分比较( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , 分)

Table 3 Comparison of SGRQ score between two groups before treatment and 10 d, 3 months and 6 months after treatment( $\bar{x} \pm s$ , scores)

| Groups            | n  | Before treatment  | 10 d after treatment           | 3 months after treatment          | 6 months after treatment           |
|-------------------|----|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Observation group | 79 | 82.24 $\pm$ 11.75 | 63.36 $\pm$ 12.19 <sup>a</sup> | 46.57 $\pm$ 13.06 <sup>a, #</sup> | 37.95 $\pm$ 12.20 <sup>a, #*</sup> |
| Control group     | 78 | 80.69 $\pm$ 12.53 | 70.28 $\pm$ 11.65 <sup>a</sup> | 58.38 $\pm$ 12.25 <sup>a, #</sup> | 49.22 $\pm$ 11.78 <sup>a, #*</sup> |
| t                 | -  | 2.108             | 1.376                          | 3.287                             | 3.529                              |
| P                 | -  | 0.351             | 0.519                          | 0.021                             | 0.019                              |

Note: compared with before treatment, <sup>a</sup>  $P<0.05$ ; compared with 10 d after treatment, <sup>#</sup> $P<0.05$ ; compared with 3 months after treatment, \* $P<0.05$ .

## 3 讨论

由于 COPD 患者存在肺部和气道气体交换障碍, 导致患者呼吸肌需要克服通气阻力来保证正常的通气, 而呼吸肌长期过度疲劳则会进一步使通气功能发生障碍, 进而出现缺氧以及二氧化碳潴留, 最终导致 II 型呼吸衰竭<sup>[8]</sup>。COPD 合并呼吸衰竭多见于中老年人, 是临床的常见病和多发病, 严重影响患者的健康和生命质量。

无创正压通气主要经口鼻面罩或者鼻面罩进行通气, 是目前临床治疗 COPD 合并 II 型呼吸衰竭患者的常见无创通气技术。报道表明<sup>[9,10]</sup>, 无创正压通气可降低气管插管的使用率, 同时可减少患者的住院时间和病死率, 而且在治疗的过程中, 患者的耐受性好、痛苦少。外界气体可通过 Bi-PAP 进入到肺部肺泡组织中, 改善患者的通气状况, 同时 Bi-PAP 的外力气压可增大支气管管径, 缓解支气管平滑肌痉挛, 正面优化通气 / 血流。临床研究显示<sup>[11,12]</sup>, Bi-PAP 可以迅速恢复 COPD 合并 II 型呼吸

衰竭患者肺泡气体交换功能, 减少血液中的二氧化碳含量, 同时促进血液中的含氧量增加, 最终改善患者的缺氧状态, 纠正二氧化碳潴留现象。另有报道<sup>[13]</sup>, 无创正压通气可增加吸气时的吸气相气道正压, 可有效防止咽腔内负压, 避免气道闭陷; 在呼气时可降低呼气相气道正压, 通过与内源性呼气末正压对抗, 可有效防止功能残气量增加, 在避免肺泡萎陷的同时又有利于呼吸肌的呼吸, 减轻其疲劳。

舒利迭内含沙美特罗及丙酸氟替卡松, 其中沙美特罗是长效 $\beta_2$ 受体激动剂, 具有以下功能<sup>[14,15]</sup>: ① 具有较好的亲脂性和被吸收性, 激活支气管平滑肌表面的 $\beta_2$ 受体的药理性, 进而能够促进支气管平滑肌的舒张而使其痉挛解除; ② 预激活支气管平滑肌表面的糖皮质激素受体, 并使其敏感性加强, 促进丙酸氟替卡松发挥最大的药理作用; ③ 激活支气管平滑肌细胞线粒体中的腺苷酸活化酶, 促进三磷酸腺苷转化为环磷酸腺苷, 同时通过降低钙离子含量来延长支气管平滑肌的松弛状态。丙酸氟替卡松是第一代糖皮质激素, 具有极强的脂溶性, 具有以下功

能<sup>[16,17]</sup>。① 吸入给药后很好进入血液循环,主要在肺部集中,因而能在肺部和支气管局部明显发挥作用,可以抑制炎症因子,缓解气道非特异性炎症性反应;② 促进支气管平滑肌细胞合成大量的 $\beta_2$ 受体,并提高 $\beta_2$ 受体的敏感性,可使沙美特罗的药效更长久。因此,沙美特罗与丙酸氟替卡松具有协同作用,两药以复合制剂的形式存在不仅能最大程度的发挥各自药效,还能相互促进而发挥更强的药效<sup>[18]</sup>。

本研究结果显示,观察组治疗后的有效率为89.87%,明显高于对照组的73.08%,提示Bi-PAP联合舒利迭能明显改善COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭患者的临床治疗效果。呼吸、心率、动脉氧分压、动脉二氧化碳分压是呼吸衰竭的常见评价指标,本研究结果显示,治疗后两组患者的呼吸衰竭症状明显改善,并且观察组治疗后呼吸衰竭症状改善程度明显优于对照组,提示两种治疗方案均能一定程度改善患者的病情,且观察组治疗方案对呼吸衰竭症状的改善程度更为显著。可能是因为舒利迭成分中的沙美特罗可以使支气管平滑肌舒张,痉挛解除,使支气管黏膜血管的通透性降低有关<sup>[19]</sup>。COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭患者年龄偏大、病程迁延难愈,因此患者免疫功能降低,IgM、IgA、IgG分别是能较好反映机体体液免疫和细胞免疫的主要免疫球蛋白,其水平越高表明机体的免疫功能越强。本研究发现,治疗后两组患者IgM、IgA、IgG水平均较治疗前明显升高,并且观察组治疗后IgM、IgA、IgG水平高于对照组,说明Bi-PAP联合舒利迭能明显改善患者的免疫功能。可能是因为联合治疗方案明显改善患者的病情,提高了患者的抵抗力,进而增强了免疫功能。因COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭病程长、慢性迁延难愈,且患者年龄偏大,对自身疾病的认知能力较差,同时患者肺部功能进行性减退,免疫功能下降,因此患者的生活质量明显下降,体现为丧失劳动能力、限制了社会活动、生活无法自理等<sup>[20]</sup>。本研究结果显示,随着时间的推移,患者的生活质量明显改善,并且观察组患者治疗后3个月、6个月的生活质量明显优于对照组,说明两种治疗方案均能让患者的生活质量得到提高,并且联合治疗方案的效果更为明显。可能是因为联合治疗方案能显著改善患者的临床治疗效果,缓解患者呼吸衰竭症状,因此患者的生活质量明显提高。

综上所述,Bi-PAP联合舒利迭能明显改善COPD合并II型呼吸衰竭患者呼吸衰竭症状,提高机体免疫力和患者的生活质量,临床推广应用价值高。

#### 参考文献(References)

- [1] 赵婷婷,强丽霞,李香顺,等.ABHD2基因与慢性阻塞性肺疾病关系的研究进展[J].现代生物医学进展,2016,16(11):2172-2174  
Zhao Ting-ting, Qiang Li-xia, Li Xiang-shun, et al. Research Progress of the Relationship between ABHD2 Genes and Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2016, 16 (11): 2172-2174
- [2] Lacerda D, Costa D, Reis M, et al. Influence of bilevel positive airway pressure on autonomic tone in hospitalized patients with decompensated heart failure[J]. J Phys Ther Sci, 2016, 28(1): 1-6
- [3] Bender BG, Hernandez Vecino RA, McGrath K, et al. Comparative Analysis of Persistence to Treatment among Patients with Asthma or COPD Receiving AirFluSal Forspiro or Seretide Diskus Salmeterol/Fluticasone Propionate Combination Therapy [J]. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract, 2016, 4(5): 884-889
- [4] Chung VC, Wu X, Ma PH, et al. Chinese Herbal Medicine and Salmeterol and Fluticasone Propionate for Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: Systematic Review and Network Meta-Analysis[J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2016, 95(20): e3702
- [5] 中华医学会呼吸病学学会慢性阻塞性肺疾病学组.慢性阻塞性肺疾病诊治指南(2007年修订版)[J].中华结核和呼吸杂志,2007,1(1):8-17  
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease group of Respiratory Diseases Society of Chinese Medical Association.Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Revised Edition, 2007)[J]. Chinese Journal of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases, 2007, 1(1): 8-17
- [6] 罗国华,胡志卿,甘虎,等.无创正压通气治疗慢性阻塞性肺疾病合并II型呼吸衰竭43例临床分析 [J]. 临床和实验医学杂志,2012,11(16):1275-1277  
Luo Guo-hua, Hu Zhi-qing, Gan Hu, et al. Clinical analysis of treatment of 43 cases of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease combining with type II respiratory failure by noninvasive positive pressure ventilation [J]. Journal of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, 2012, 11 (16): 1275-1277
- [7] 范佛远.中、重度慢性阻塞性肺疾病合并II型呼吸衰竭老年患者应用BiPAP呼吸机辅以舒利迭的临床干预研究[J].吉林医学,2016,37(8):1887-1890  
Fan Fo-yuan. The study of clinical intervention in COPD patients with type II respiratory failure in aged patients with ventilator with the application of BiPAP in severe Seretide[J]. Jilin Medical Journal, 2016, 37(8): 1887-1890
- [8] Abroug F, Ouannes-Besbes L, Hammouda Z, et al. Noninvasive ventilation with helium-oxygen mixture in hypercapnic COPD exacerbation: aggregate meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials[J]. Ann Intensive Care, 2017, 7(1): 59
- [9] Moriki T, Nakamura T, Kamijo YI, et al. Noninvasive positive pressure ventilation enhances the effects of aerobic training on cardiopulmonary function[J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(5): e0178003
- [10] Jónsdóttir B, Jaworowski Å, San Miguel C, et al. IL-8 predicts early mortality in patients with acute hypercapnic respiratory failure treated with noninvasive positive pressure ventilation [J]. BMC Pulm Med, 2017, 17(1): 35
- [11] Lee SS, Berman MF. Use of the Draeger Apollo to Deliver Bilevel Positive Pressure Ventilation During Awake Frontal Craniotomy for a Patient with Severe Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease [J]. A A Case Rep, 2015, 5(11): 202-205
- [12] Zhang J, Wang Y, Cao J, et al. Noninvasive ventilation with complex critical care ventilator in the treatment of acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [J]. J Int Med Res, 2014, 42 (5): 1102-1109
- [13] Cheng J, Liu Y, Li G, et al. Noninvasive ventilation improves cardiac function in patients with chronic heart failure [J]. Oncotarget, 2016, 7 (31): 48918-48924
- [14] Amri Maleh V, Monadi M, Heidari B, et al. Efficiency and outcome of non-invasive versus invasive positive pressure ventilation therapy in respiratory failure due to chronic obstructive pulmonary disease[J]. Caspian J Intern Med, 2016, 7(2): 99-104

- Case Report[J]. Transplant Proc, 2017, 49(1): 181-184
- [13] Chan JF, Yao Y, Yeung ML, et al. Treatment With Lopinavir/Ritonavir or Interferon- $\beta$ 1b Improves Outcome of MERS-CoV Infection in a Nonhuman Primate Model of Common Marmoset[J]. The Journal of infectious diseases, 2015, 212(12): 1904-1913
- [14] Chahin S, Berger JR. A risk classification for immunosuppressive treatment-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy[J]. Journal of neurovirology, 2015, 21(6): 623-631
- [15] Ishida S, Kato M, Fujita T, et al. Calcineurin Inhibitor-Induced Pain Syndrome in ABO-Incompatible Living Kidney Transplantation: A Case Report[J]. Transplant Proc, 2017, 49(1): 163-166
- [16] Yeung KS, Chung BH, Choufani S, et al. Genome-Wide DNA Methylation Analysis of Chinese Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Identified Hypomethylation in Genes Related to the Type I Interferon Pathway[J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(1): e0169553
- [17] Monov S, Hristova R, Dacheva R, et al. Acute necrotizing retinal vasculitis as onset of systemic lupus erythematosus: A case report[J]. Medicine (Baltimore), 2017, 96(2): e5754
- [18] Geraldino-Pardilla L, Gartshteyn Y, Piña P, et al. ECG non-specific ST-T and QTc abnormalities in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus compared with rheumatoid arthritis[J]. Lupus Sci Med, 2016, 3(1): e000168
- [19] Menon M, Blair PA, Isenberg DA, et al. A Regulatory Feedback between Plasmacytoid Dendritic Cells and Regulatory B Cells Is Aberrant in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus [J]. Immunity, 2016, 44(3): 683-697
- [20] Ye Qianqian, Zhai Zhimin, Wang Huiping, et al. Detection of plasma interleukin-2 and tumor necrosis factor- $\alpha$  in patients with multiple myeloma and systemic lupus erythematosus [J]. Journal of Anhui Medical University, 2016, 51(2): 255-258
- [21] Sun Yun-e, Chen Shao-xiu, Chen Du-hong, et al. Nursing care of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus complicated by toxic epidermal necrolysis[J]. Chinese Nursing Journal, 2012, 47(1): 82-83
- [22] Alahmadi RM, Hashim RT, Almogairin SM, et al. Purtscher-like retinopathy as a first presentation of systematic lupus erythematosus [J]. Annals of Saudi medicine, 2016, 36(1): 85-88
- [23] Costedoat-Chalumeau N, Dunogué B, Leroux G, et al. A Critical Review of the Effects of Hydroxychloroquine and Chloroquine on the Eye [J]. Clinical reviews in allergy & immunology, 2015, 49(3): 317-326
- [24] Kivity S, Agmon-Levin N, Zandman-Goddard G, et al. Neuropsychiatric lupus: a mosaic of clinical presentations [J]. BMC medicine 2015, 13(1): 269
- [25] Grassmann F, Bergholz R, Mändl J, et al. Common synonymous variants in ABCA4 are protective for chloroquine induced maculopathy (toxic maculopathy)[J]. BMC ophthalmology, 2015, 15(1): 8
- [26] Ramirez GA, Lanzani C, Bozzolo EP, et al. Beta-adducin and sodium-calcium exchanger 1 gene variants are associated with systemic lupus erythematosus and lupus nephritis [J]. Rheumatology international, 2015, 35(12): 1975-1983
- [27] Barešić M, Sreter KB, Brčić L, et al. Solitary pulmonary amyloidoma mimicking lung cancer on 18F-FDG PET-CT scan in systemic lupus erythematosus patient[J]. Lupus, 2015, 24(14): 1546-1551
- [28] Tingström J, Hjelmstedt A, Welin Henriksson E, et al. Ro/SSA autoantibody-positive pregnancy: reactions to serial fetal Doppler echocardiographic surveillance[J]. Lupus, 2015, 24(14): 1540-1545
- [29] Migowa A, Colmegna I, Hitchon C, et al. The spectrum of rheumatic in-patient diagnoses at a pediatric hospital in Kenya [J]. Pediatr Rheumatol Online J, 2017, 15(1): 4
- [30] Eloi M, Horvath DV, Ortega JC, et al. 25-Hydroxivitamin D Serum Concentration, Not Free and Bioavailable Vitamin D, Is Associated with Disease Activity in Systemic Lupus Erythematosus Patients[J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(1): e0170323

(上接第 1359 页)

- [15] Moga AM, De Marchie M, Saey D, et al. Bi-level positive airway pressure (BiPAP) with standard exhalation valve does not improve maximum exercise capacity in patients with COPD [J]. COPD, 2015, 12(1): 46-54
- [16] Rossi A, van der Molen T, del Olmo R, et al. INSTEAD: a randomised switch trial of indacaterol versus salmeterol/fluticasone in moderate COPD[J]. Eur Respir J, 2014, 44(6): 1548-1556
- [17] Du Y, Wang W, Yang W, et al. Interleukin-32, not reduced by salmeterol/fluticasone propionate in smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease[J]. Chin Med J (Engl), 2014, 127(9): 1613-1618
- [18] Ismaila A, Corriveau D, Vaillancourt J, et al. Impact of adherence to treatment with tiotropium and fluticasone propionate/salmeterol in chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases patients [J]. Curr Med Res Opin, 2014, 30(7): 1427-1436
- [19] Timmins SC, Diba C, Schoeffel RE, et al. Changes in oscillatory impedance and nitrogen washout with combination fluticasone/salmeterol therapy in COPD[J]. Respir Med, 2014, 108(2): 344-350
- [20] Moxon A, Lee G. Non-invasive ventilation in the emergency department for patients in type II respiratory failure due to COPD exacerbations[J]. Int Emerg Nurs, 2015, 23(3): 232-236