

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2020.07.035

结直肠癌围术期营养干预对患者术后营养指标、T 淋巴细胞亚群及并发症的影响 *

郭 飞¹ 薛 军^{1△} 韩 磊¹ 张晓阳¹ 吕燕琴¹ 李明晖¹ 贾 倩²

(1 河北北方学院附属第一医院普外科 河北 张家口 075061;2 河北北方学院附属第一医院检验科 河北 张家口 075061)

摘要 目的:探讨结直肠癌围术期营养干预对患者术后营养指标、T 淋巴细胞亚群及并发症的影响。**方法:**选取 2017 年 3 月~2019 年 3 月期间我院收治的结直肠癌患者 103 例,根据随机数字表法将患者分为对照组($n=51$)和研究组($n=52$),对照组给予常规方案干预,研究组给予营养支持方案干预。比较两组围术期指标、营养指标、T 淋巴细胞亚群及并发症。**结果:**研究组患者的排便时间、首次肛门排气时间、住院时间均短于对照组($P<0.05$)。对照组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4^{+/CD8⁺ 均低于入院时,CD8⁺ 则高于入院时($P<0.05$);研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4^{+/CD8⁺ 呈先降低后升高趋势,CD8⁺ 呈先升高后降低趋势($P<0.05$);研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4^{+/CD8⁺ 高于对照组,CD8⁺ 则低于对照组($P<0.05$)。两组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 血清前清蛋白(PA)、血红蛋白(Hb)、总蛋白(TP)、转铁蛋白(TF)水平均呈先下降后升高趋势($P<0.05$);研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 血清 PA、Hb、TP、TF 水平均高于对照组($P<0.05$)。两组并发症发生率比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$)。**结论:**结直肠癌围术期给予营养支持方案干预,可有效改善患者营养状态,提高免疫功能,且不增加并发症发生率,具有一定的临床应用价值。}}}

关键词:结直肠癌;围术期;营养干预;营养指标;T 淋巴细胞亚群;并发症

中图分类号:R735.3 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2020)07-1360-04

Effect of Perioperative Nutritional Intervention on Nutritional Indicators, T Lymphocyte Subsets and Complications of Patients with Colorectal Cancer*

GUO Fei¹, XUE Jun^{1△}, HAN Lei¹, ZHANG Xiao-yang¹, LV Yan-qin¹, LI Ming-hui¹, JIA Qian²

(1 Department of General Surgery, The First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou, Hebei, 075061, China;

2 Department of Laboratory, The First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University, Zhangjiakou, Hebei, 075061, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To investigate the effect of perioperative nutritional intervention on nutritional parameters, T lymphocyte subsets and complications of patients with colorectal cancer. **Methods:** 103 patients with colorectal cancer who were admitted to our hospital from March 2017 to March 2019 were selected, they were divided into control group ($n=51$) and study group ($n=52$) according to random number table method. The control group was given routine program intervention, and the study group was given nutritional support program intervention. Perioperative indicators, nutritional indicators, T lymphocyte subsets and complications were compared between the two groups. **Results:** The defecation time, first anal exhaust time and hospitalization time in the study group were shorter than those in the control group ($P<0.05$). The CD3⁺, CD4⁺, CD4^{+/CD8⁺ in the control group were lower than those at admission 1d after operation and 7d after operation, while CD8⁺ was higher than those at admission ($P<0.05$). The CD3⁺, CD4⁺, CD4^{+/CD8⁺ in the study group decreased first and then increased, and CD8⁺ increased first and then decreased($P<0.05$). The CD3⁺, CD4⁺, CD4^{+/CD8⁺ in the study group were higher than those in the control group at 1 d after operation, 7 d after operation, CD8⁺ was lower than that in control group ($P<0.05$). The levels of serum prealbumin (PA), hemoglobin (Hb), total protein (TP) and transferrin (TF) in the two groups decreased first and then increased ($P<0.05$). The levels of serum PA, Hb, TP and TF in the study group were higher than those in the control group at 1 d after operation, 7 d after operation($P<0.05$). There was no significant difference in the incidence of complications between the two groups ($P>0.05$). **Conclusion:** Nutrition support program intervention in perioperative period of colorectal cancer can effectively improve the nutritional status of patients, improve immune function, and it do not increase the incidence of complications, which has a certain clinical value.}}}

Key words: Colorectal cancer; Perioperative period; Nutritional intervention; Nutritional indicators; T lymphocyte subsets; Complications

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R735.3 Document code: A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2020)07-1360-04

* 基金项目:河北省卫生厅科研基金项目(20180863)

作者简介:郭飞(1981-),男,硕士研究生,主治医师,研究方向:普外科学,E-mail: guoyishenghao@163.com

△ 通讯作者:薛军(1965-),男,硕士,主任医师,研究方向:普外科学,E-mail: yfxuejun@163.com

(收稿日期:2019-09-05 接受日期:2019-09-28)

前言

结直肠癌是临床常见的消化道恶性肿瘤,该病早期症状不典型,伴随着疾病进展,可出现排便习惯改变、局部腹痛等轻微症状,疾病晚期则可出现体重减轻、贫血等全身性症状^[1-3]。据相关研究报道统计^[4],结直肠癌的发病率、死亡率较高,分别约占全球范围内恶性肿瘤发病率、死亡率的第三位及第四位,预后较差。手术治疗是结直肠癌的主要治疗手段,疗效确切,但由于不少患者在围术期存在不同程度的营养不良现象,致使其免疫功能减退,增加并发症发生风险^[5,6]。因此,寻找提高结直肠癌围术期患者的营养支持方案具有积极的临床意义。以往营养支持多为单纯饮食调整,然而由于多数结直肠癌患者术中胃肠道功能受损严重,致使其耐受性差,效果一般^[7,8]。近年来,营养支持理念已广泛普及于临床,可有效改善围术期的营养水平^[9,10]。本研究对结直肠癌围术期患者给予营养干预,并分析其对患者术后营养指标、T 淋巴细胞亚群及并发症的影响,旨在为结直肠癌围术期的治疗提供数据支持。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选取我院 2017 年 3 月~2019 年 3 月收治的 103 例结直肠癌患者。纳入标准:(1)诊断标准参考《中国常见恶性肿瘤诊治规范》^[11];(2)均具备手术指征,且均行结直肠癌切除术;(3)均为初治患者,可配合完成本次研究者;(4)患者及其家属知情本研究且签署了知情同意书;(5)卡劳夫斯基(Karnofsky, KPS)^[12]行为状况评分>80 分。排除标准:(1)合并其他消化症状类疾病,如阑尾炎、消化道梗阻等;(2)合并凝血功能障碍者;(3)合并严重感染、免疫性、内分泌疾病者;(4)合并心肝肾等脏器病变者;(5)伴有精神疾患,无法配合治疗者;(6)依从性差,不配合本次研究者。本研究已获我院伦理委员会批准。将患者根据随机数字表法分为对照组(n=51)和研究组(n=52),其中对照组男 31 例,女 20 例,年龄 39~61 岁,平均(48.72±3.47)岁;临床分期:I 期 15 例,II 期 19 例,III 期 17 例;手术方式:肠切除+吻合 19 例,Miles 术 22 例,Hartmann 术 10 例;体质量指数 22.9~26.4 kg/m²,平均(24.61±0.97)kg/m²。研究组男 34 例,女 18 例,年龄 37~62 岁,平均(48.59±3.06)岁;临床分期:I 期 16 例,II

期 17 例,III 期 19 例;手术方式:肠切除+吻合 22 例,Miles 术 19 例,Hartmann 术 11 例;体质量指数 22.8~26.9 kg/m²,平均(24.53±0.88)kg/m²。两组一般资料对比无差异($P>0.05$)。

1.2 方法

对照组:术前 3 d:半流食、流食、禁食补液、链霉素、灭滴灵、番泻叶,术前 1 d:无渣流质饮食,术前 0.5 d:聚乙二醇电解质、补充氨基酸、脂肪乳,术后给予静脉高营养,排气后正常进食,进食方式为以流质饮食过渡至半流质、普食。研究组:术前 3 d 口服能全力至手术前 16 h,能全力 500 mL 可提供 500 kcal 热量及 20 g 蛋白质。2000 mL/d,分 5 次使用,同时口服链霉素、灭滴灵;术前 12 h 口服 300~500 mL 的 10% 甘露醇,当晚清肠;术后 12~24 h 给予能全力,经鼻胃管加温后输入,400~500 mL/d;术后 2 d 加量至 800~1000 mL/d;若患者无不良反应则于术后 3 d 加量至 1500~2000 mL/d;持续干预至术后 1 周,排气后方可正常进食,进食方式为以流质饮食过渡至半流质、普食。

1.3 观察指标

(1)记录两组围术期指标:排便时间、首次肛门排气时间以及住院时间。(2)于入院时、术后 1 d、术后 7 d 抽取所有患者清晨空腹静脉血 6 mL,分为两管,其中一管以 3500 r/min 离心 12 min,取上清液置于 -30°C 冰箱中待测。采用美国贝克曼公司生产的 Array-360 特种蛋白分析仪检测前清蛋白(Prealbumin, PA)、血红蛋白(Hemoglobin, Hb)、总蛋白(Total protein, TP)、转铁蛋白(Transferrin, TF)水平。另一管采用 Fascalibur 型流式细胞仪及其配套试剂(美国 BD 公司生产)检测 T 淋巴细胞亚群:CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD8⁺ 比例,计算 CD4⁺/CD8⁺。(3)记录两组并发症情况。

1.4 统计学方法

采用 SPSS26.0 进行数据分析。计数资料用率(%)描述,两组比较用 χ^2 检验或 Fisher 确切概率法分析。计量资料以(±s)的形式表示,组间比较行成组 t 检验,组内前后比较行配对 t 检验。检验水准 $\alpha=0.05$ 。

2 结果

2.1 围术期指标比较

研究组患者的排便时间、首次肛门排气时间、住院时间均短于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表 1。

表 1 围术期指标比较(±s)
Table 1 Comparison of perioperative indicators(±s)

Groups	Defecation time(d)	First anal exhaust time(h)	Hospitalization time(d)
Control group(n=51)	6.86±0.53	55.68±5.19	11.65±1.35
Study group(n=52)	4.53±0.44	42.17±4.38	8.92±1.42
t	24.295	14.287	9.996
P	0.000	0.000	0.000

2.2 两组 T 淋巴细胞亚群比较

两组入院时 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD8⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺ 比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$);对照组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺ 均低于入院时,CD8⁺ 则高于入院时($P<0.05$),而对照组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 T 淋巴细胞亚群比较差异无统计学

意义($P>0.05$);研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺ 呈先降低后升高趋势,CD8⁺ 呈先升高后降低趋势($P<0.05$);研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 的 CD3⁺、CD4⁺、CD4⁺/CD8⁺ 高于对照组,CD8⁺ 则低于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表 2。

表 2 两组 T 淋巴细胞亚群比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 2 Comparison of T lymphocyte subsets between two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	Point of time	CD3 ⁺ (%)	CD4 ⁺ (%)	CD8 ⁺ (%)	CD4 ⁺ /CD8 ⁺
Control group(n=51)	Admission	56.81± 8.28	32.87± 5.34	21.25± 4.24	1.55± 0.27
	1 d after operation	43.79± 7.53 ^a	22.72± 4.33 ^a	28.47± 4.28 ^a	0.80± 0.12 ^a
	7 d after operation	44.40± 9.26 ^a	23.15± 4.29 ^a	27.46± 3.43 ^a	0.84± 0.16 ^a
Study group(n=52)	Admission	56.73± 9.24	32.73± 6.32	21.17± 3.54	1.55± 0.34
	1 d after operation	48.71± 8.52 ^{ac}	26.72± 4.69 ^{ac}	24.63± 3.68 ^{ac}	1.08± 0.36 ^{ac}
	7 d after operation	56.38± 7.40 ^{bc}	32.41± 5.46 ^{bc}	21.23± 3.64 ^{bc}	1.53± 0.44 ^{bc}

Note: Compared with admission, ^aP<0.05; Compared with 1d after operation, ^bP<0.05; Compared with the control group, ^cP<0.05.

2.3 两组营养指标比较

两组入院时血清 PA、Hb、TP、TF 水平比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$);两组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 血清 PA、Hb、TP、TF 水

平均呈先下降后升高趋势($P<0.05$),研究组术后 1 d、术后 7 d 血清 PA、Hb、TP、TF 水平均高于对照组($P<0.05$);详见表 3。

表 3 两组营养指标比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 3 Comparison of nutritional indicators between two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	Point of time	PA(mg/L)	Hb(g/L)	TP(g/L)	TF(μmol/L)
Control group(n=51)	Admission	275.14± 21.38	124.67± 18.33	60.87± 4.48	2.92± 0.49
	1 d after operation	176.17± 23.41 ^a	71.28± 12.27 ^a	46.24± 3.57 ^a	1.63± 0.38 ^a
	7 d after operation	219.34± 22.67 ^{ab}	92.56± 15.13 ^{ab}	50.54± 4.62 ^{ab}	2.12± 0.43 ^{ab}
Study group(n=52)	Admission	274.09± 23.53	125.21± 17.07	60.78± 4.92	2.95± 0.50
	1 d after operation	203.64± 27.84 ^{ac}	86.20± 11.25 ^{ac}	50.79± 3.57 ^{ac}	2.01± 0.39 ^{ac}
	7 d after operation	245.27± 23.62 ^{abc}	105.12± 18.11 ^{abc}	55.06± 4.52 ^{abc}	2.58± 0.44 ^{abc}

Note: Compared with admission, ^aP<0.05; Compared with 1d after operation, ^bP<0.05; Compared with the control group, ^cP<0.05.

2.4 两组围术期间并发症发生情况比较

对照组围术期间出现 11 例并发症,包括吻合口漏 2 例,切口感染 3 例,呕吐 2 例,腹胀 4 例,并发症发生率为 21.57% (11/51);研究组围术期间出现 7 例并发症,包括吻合口漏 1 例,切口感染 2 例,呕吐 2 例,腹胀 2 例,并发症发生率为 13.46% (7/52);两组并发症发生率比较差异无统计学意义($\chi^2=1.173, P=0.279$)。

3 讨论

近年来,随着人们生活结构的改变以及人口老龄化的加剧,结直肠癌的发病率逐年递增,且逐渐趋于年轻化,给人类的生命健康带来巨大威胁^[13,14]。结直肠癌的主要治疗方法为手术治疗,可有效阻止癌细胞扩散,阻止疾病进展,但术后仍难以避免肿瘤的复发和转移,影响患者预后。由于大多数的结直肠癌患者术前均存在不同程度的营养状态下降及免疫功能下调,加之术后高代谢影响,导致患者应激能力及免疫能力降低,这也是导致术后肿瘤复发和转移的主要原因^[15,16]。营养状态是机体产生组织生长修复能力、抵抗能力以及维持正常生理的一种物质基础^[17,18]。既往有文献报道^[19,20],结直肠癌患者的营养风险发生率高达 27%~54%,明显高于普通外科患者的平均营养风险发生率(20%~30%)。因此,给予相关的营养支持治疗是结直肠癌围术期患者不可或缺的一部分。以往的营养支持主要包括入院时 2~3 d 半流质饮食、机械性灌肠、反复导泄等措施^[21,22],但该类干预措施不仅会加重患者营养不良,还易破坏肠粘膜屏

障等,降低治疗效果,增加患者痛苦^[23,24]。

本次研究结果显示,两组术后血清 PA、Hb、TP、TF 水平均呈先下降后升高趋势,但研究组上述水平均高于对照组,可见结直肠癌围术期给予营养支持方案干预,可有效改善其营养状态。分析其原因,常规的营养支持仅侧重于维持水电解质平衡、酸碱度等,且由于严格的限食,导致营养摄入不足,不仅无法改善营养状态,还可能导致细菌移位,从而加重营养风险^[25]。相对而言,系统的营养支持方案干预更加符合人体正常生理代谢功能要求,可保障生物、免疫完整性,并改善黏膜通透性。本研究中营养支持方案所使用的能全力包含 7 种营养物质,可有效满足围术期患者的营养代谢,有效改善患者营养状态^[26]。本次研究结果还显示,研究组术后围术期指标、免疫功能改善情况均明显优于对照组,这可能是因为营养支持方案干预可有效恢复患者肠道功能,改善其不良营养状态,保证了患者围术期正常生理需求,提高患者手术耐受力,有利于其术后恢复,进而改善其免疫功能^[27,28]。

综上所述,结直肠癌围术期给予营养支持方案干预,可有效提高免疫功能,改善患者营养状态,且不增加并发症发生率,临床应用价值较高。

参 考 文 献(References)

- [1] Asokkumar R, Malvar C, Nguyen-Vu T, et al. Endoscopic Assessment of the Malignant Potential of the Nonpolypoid (Flat and Depressed) Colorectal Neoplasms: Thinking Fast, and Slow [J]. Gastrointest Endosc Clin N Am, 2019, 29(4): 613-628

- [2] Wan N, Weinberg D, Liu TY, et al. Machine learning enables detection of early-stage colorectal cancer by whole-genome sequencing of plasma cell-free DNA[J]. *BMC Cancer*, 2019, 19(1): 832
- [3] Zheng Q, Kang W, Chen C, et al. Diagnosis accuracy of Raman spectroscopy in colorectal cancer: A PRISMA-compliant systematic review and meta-analysis [J]. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2019, 98 (34): e16940
- [4] Dai W, Zhou F, Tang D, et al. Single-cell transcriptional profiling reveals the heterogeneity in colorectal cancer[J]. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2019, 98(34): e16916
- [5] Sakuma S, Minamino S, Takase M, et al. Hydrogen sulfide donor GYY4137 suppresses proliferation of human colorectal cancer Caco-2 cells by inducing both cell cycle arrest and cell death [J]. *Heliyon*, 2019, 5(8): e02244
- [6] Mohebbi B, Ashtibaghaei K, Hashemi M, et al. Conditioned Medium from Cultured Colorectal Cancer Cells Affects Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells Inflammatory Phenotype in Vitro [J]. *Iran J Med Sci*, 2019, 44(4): 334-341
- [7] Wang Y, Zheng J, Gao Z, et al. Investigation on nutritional risk assessment and nutritional support status of surgical patients with colorectal cancer[J]. *J BUON*, 2018, 23(1): 62-67
- [8] Ye X, He D, Zhao J, et al. Application value of nursing intervention combined with early nutritional support in preventive stoma reversion of low rectal cancer[J]. *Oncol Lett*, 2019, 17(4): 3777-3782
- [9] Haidari F, Abiri B, Iravani M, et al. The Effects of UVB and Vitamin D on Decreasing Risk of Colorectal Cancer Incidence and Mortality: A Review of the Epidemiology, Clinical Trials, and Mechanisms[J]. *Nutr Cancer*, 2019, 71(5): 709-717
- [10] Yang W, Ma Y, Smith-Warner S, et al. Calcium Intake and Survival after Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis [J]. *Clin Cancer Res*, 2019, 25(6): 1980-1988
- [11] 中国华人民共和国卫生部. 中国常见恶性肿瘤诊治规范[M]. 北京: 北京医科大学、中国协和医科大学联合出版社, 1991: 156
- [12] 巫小云, 张丽, 王甜甜, 等. 清金化痰汤辅助治疗肺癌合并肺部感染的效果及对KPS评分的影响 [J]. 癌症进展, 2019, 17(10): 1208-1211
- [13] 左浩, 闫冬升, 冯爱文, 等. 腹腔镜对结直肠癌患者血清基质金属蛋白酶、胃肠激素及应激激素水平的影响[J]. 现代生物医学进展, 2018, 18(23): 4514-4517, 4543
- [14] Koh H, Hamada T, Song M, et al. Physical Activity and Colorectal Cancer Prognosis According to Tumor-Infiltrating T Cells [J]. *JNCI Cancer Spectr*, 2018, 2(4): pky058
- [15] Abu-Sbeih H, Ali FS, Ge PS, et al. Patients with breast cancer may be at higher risk of colorectal neoplasia [J]. *Ann Gastroenterol*, 2019, 32 (4): 400-406
- [16] Aonuma AO, Nakamura M, Sakamaki K, et al. Incidence of cancer-associated thromboembolism in Japanese gastric and colorectal cancer patients receiving chemotherapy: a single-institutional retrospective cohort analysis (Sapporo CAT study)[J]. *BMJ Open*, 2019, 9 (8): e028563
- [17] 黄彪, 王琦三. 结直肠癌患者营养风险筛查和营养支持状况研究 [J]. 中国全科医学, 2014, 17(6): 656-658
- [18] 邵拥军, 刘永武, 胡世莲, 等. 老年结直肠癌患者营养风险筛查及术后早期肠内营养支持的临床研究[J]. 中国临床保健杂志, 2016, 19(3): 276-279
- [19] Mizota Y, Kanemitsu Y, Tsukamoto S, et al. ROK study-C (Rainbow of KIBOU study-colorectum): a colorectal cancer survivor cohort study on food, nutrition, physical activity, psychosocial factors and its influences on colorectal cancer recurrence, survival and quality of life in Japan[J]. *BMC Cancer*, 2018, 18(1): 953
- [20] Girardi B, Principi M, Pricci M, et al. Chemoprevention of inflammation-related colorectal cancer by silymarin-, acetyl-11-keto-beta-boswellic acid-, curcumin- and maltodextrin-enriched dietetic formulation in animal model [J]. *Carcinogenesis*, 2018, 39 (10): 1274-1282
- [21] Lavalette C, Adjibade M, Srour B, et al. Cancer-Specific and General Nutritional Scores and Cancer Risk: Results from the Prospective NutriNet-Santé Cohort[J]. *Cancer Res*, 2018, 78(15): 4427-4435
- [22] Serralde-Zúñiga A, Castro-Eguiluz D, Aguilar-Ponce JL, et al. Epidemiological Data on the Nutritional Status of Cancer Patients Receiving Treatment with Concomitant Chemoradiotherapy, Radiotherapy or Sequential Chemoradiotherapy to the Abdominopelvic Area[J]. *Rev Invest Clin*, 2018, 70(3): 117-120
- [23] Gillis C, Buhler K, Bresee L, et al. Effects of Nutritional Rehabilitation, with and Without Exercise, on Outcomes of Patients Who Undergo Colorectal Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis[J]. *Gastroenterology*, 2018, 155(2): 391-410.e4
- [24] Cavagnari MAV, Silva TD, Pereira MAH, et al. Impact of genetic mutations and nutritional status on the survival of patients with colorectal cancer[J]. *BMC Cancer*, 2019, 19(1): 644
- [25] Lee SY, Jung MR, Kim CH, et al. utritional risk screening score is an independent predictive factor of anastomotic leakage after rectal cancer surgery[J]. *Eur J Clin Nutr*, 2018, 72(4): 489-495
- [26] Jones P, Cade JE, Evans CEL, et al. Does adherence to the World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute of Cancer Research cancer prevention guidelines reduce risk of colorectal cancer in the UK Women's Cohort Study?[J]. *Br J Nutr*, 2018, 119(3): 340-348
- [27] Peng J, Zhang R, Zhao Y, et al. Prognostic value of preoperative prognostic nutritional index and its associations with systemic inflammatory response markers in patients with stage III colon cancer [J]. *Chin J Cancer*, 2017, 36(1): 96
- [28] Mauricio SF, Xiao J, Prado CM, et al. Different nutritional assessment tools as predictors of postoperative complications in patients undergoing colorectal cancer resection [J]. *Clin Nutr*, 2018, 37 (5): 1505-1511