

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2018.17.023

内镜揭盖术与唇齿沟径路切除术治疗鼻前庭囊肿患者的疗效及对炎性因子的影响*

文晓蛟¹ 吴爵^{2△} 伏籽桥¹ 任贤灵¹ 罗通勇¹ 岳胜清¹

(1 四川省广元市中心医院耳鼻喉科 四川 广元 628000;2 成都医学院第一附属医院泌尿外科 四川 成都 610500)

摘要 目的:研究内镜揭盖术(ER)与唇齿沟径路切除术(LSPR)治疗鼻前庭囊肿(NVC)患者的临床疗效及对炎性因子的影响。方法:选择2015年7月至2017年6月来我院就诊的NVC患者106例,依据随机数字表法分别纳入ER组(53例)及LSPR组(53例)。ER组行ER治疗,LSPR组行唇齿沟径路切除术。观察两组术中出血量、手术时间、术后创口愈合时间、术后24 h疼痛评分及上皮化时间,两组术前、术后7 d白细胞介素-6(IL-6)、白细胞介素-8(IL-8)、肿瘤坏死因子-α(TNF-α)、C-反应蛋白(CRP)水平,两组术后并发症及复发情况。结果:ER组术中出血量、手术时间、术后创口愈合时间、术后24 h疼痛评分及上皮化时间等手术指标均低于LSPR组,差异有统计学意义($P<0.05$);术后7 d,两组IL-6、IL-8、TNF-α及CRP水平均低于治疗前,且ER组低于LSPR组,差异有统计学意义($P<0.05$);ER组术后并发症发生率7.55%、术后复发率3.77%,均低于LSPR组的22.64%、20.75%,差异均有统计学意义($P<0.05$)。结论:与LSPR相比较,ER治疗NVC创伤小,恢复快,可有效降低术后炎性因子水平,术后并发症及复发率低,值得临床应用。

关键词: 内镜揭盖术;唇齿沟径路切除术;鼻前庭囊肿;炎性因子;并发症;复发

中图分类号:R765 **文献标识码:**A **文章编号:**1673-6273(2018)17-3310-05

Nasal Vestibular Cyst: Curative Effects and Impact of Endoscopic Resection and Labiodental Sulcus Path Resection on Inflammatory Factors*

WEN Xiao-jiao¹, WU Jue^{2△}, FU Zi-qiao¹, REN Xian-ling¹, LUO Tong-yong¹, YUE Sheng-qing¹

(1 Department of ENT, Guangyuan Central Hospital of Sichuan Province, Guangyuan, Sichuan, 628000, China;

2 Department of Urology, The First Affiliated Hospital of Chengdu Medical College, Chengdu, Sichuan, 610500, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To study the clinical efficacy of endoscopic resection (ER) and labiodental sulcus path resection (LSPR) in treatment of patients with nasal vestibular cyst (NVC) and influence on the inflammatory factors of patients. **Methods:** A total of 106 NVC patients, who were admitted to Guangyuan Central Hospital of Sichuan Province from July 2015 to June 2017, were randomly divided into ER group(n=53) and LSPR group(n=53). The ER group was treated by ER, and the LSPR group was treated by labiodental sulcus path resection. The intraoperative bleeding volume, the operation time, the time of wound healing, pain scores 24 h after operation, the epithelial time, the levels of IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α and CRP before operation and 7 days after operation, the postoperative complications and recurrence were observed in the two groups. **Results:** The intraoperative bleeding volume, the operation time, the time of wound healing, the pain scores 24 h after operation and the epithelial time in ER group were lower than those in LSPR group ($P<0.05$). 7days after operation, the levels of IL-6, IL-8, TNF-α, CRP in the two groups were lower than those before operation, and the ER group was lower than the LSPR group, the difference was statistically significant ($P<0.05$). The incidence of postoperative complications(5.55%), and recurrence rate (3.77%) in ER group were lower than those (22.64%, 20.75%) in LSPR group, the difference was statistically significant ($P<0.05$). **Conclusion:** Compared with LSPR, in the treatment of NVC, ER can effectively reduce the levels of postoperative inflammatory factors, with less trauma, faster recovery, low incidence of postoperative complications and recurrence rate, which is worthy of clinical application.

Key words: Endoscopic resection; Labiodental sulcus path resection; Nasal vestibular cyst; Inflammatory factors; Complications; Recurrence

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R765 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2018)17-3310-05

前言

鼻前庭囊肿(Nasal vestibular cyst, NVC)为鼻前庭底部和鼻腔下鼻甲、外下壁及上颌骨牙槽突之间的囊性肿瘤,多发于

* 基金项目:四川省教育厅科研资助项目(17ZA0136)

作者简介:文晓蛟(1984-),男,本科,主治医师,从事过敏性鼻炎诊治方面的研究,E-mail:741046948@qq.com

△ 通讯作者:吴爵(1983-),男,硕士,讲师,从事泌尿系统围手术期处理方面的研究,E-mail:wujue126@126.com

(收稿日期:2018-01-19 接受日期:2018-02-15)

中青年女性^[1]。NVC 多由先天发育异常或腺体潴留引发,早期无特异性表现,随着 NVC 的体积增大,多数患者常存在局部胀痛,若继发感染,不但疼痛程度明显增加,肿瘤体积也显著增加^[2]。在 NVC 的治疗上,既往多行唇齿沟径路切除术(Labiodental sulcus path resection, LSPR),该术式不但创伤大、手术时间长、术后恢复慢,且常可发生牙龈瘘、鼻前庭粘连等多种并发症,影响术后康复^[3]。研究证明,内镜揭盖术(Endoscopic resection, ER)具有微创,操作简单,术后并发症少等优点,已逐渐应用于临床^[4]。本文旨在比较 ER 与 LSPR 治疗 NVC 患者的临床疗效及对免疫功能的影响,总结如下。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

收集 2015 年 7 月至 2017 年 6 月来我院就诊的 NVC 患者 106 例,纳入标准:经 CT、MRI 及鼻内镜检查确诊为 NVC 患者;单侧 NVC 患者;知情同意患者;符合手术指征患者。排除标准:凝血功能障碍患者;内镜下难以窥及鼻前庭区域患者;合并全身器官衰竭患者;血液病、糖尿病、高血压病患者;肝肾功能严重失常患者;依从性差患者;明显瘢痕体质患者。其中男 27 例,女 79 例;年龄 26~52 岁,平均年龄(36.77 ± 3.71)岁;病程 1.5~20 个月,平均(2.49 ± 0.27)个月;直径 1.1~2.7 cm,平均直径(1.83 ± 0.19);位置:左侧 71 例,右侧 35 例;感染 39 例,未感染 67 例。将 106 例 NVC 患者依据随机数字表法分别纳入 ER 组(53 例)及 LSPR 组(53 例),两组一般资料比较差异无统计学意义($P > 0.05$),具有可比性。见表 1。

表 1 两组一般资料比较

Table 1 Comparison of general data between two groups

| Groups | n | Sex (male/female) | Age (age) | Course of disease (months) | Diameter(cm) | Position (left/right) | Infection/unin- fection |
|------------|----|----------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| ER group | 53 | 14/39 | 36.58 ± 3.68 | 2.53 ± 0.29 | 1.85 ± 0.22 | 34/19 | 19/34 |
| LSPR group | 53 | 13/40 | 36.80 ± 3.73 | 2.46 ± 0.26 | 1.81 ± 0.16 | 37/160.384 | 20/33 |
| χ^2/t | | 0.050 | 0.306 | 1.308 | 1.071 | 0.384 | 0.041 |
| P | | 0.824 | 0.761 | 0.194 | 0.287 | 0.536 | 0.840 |

1.2 方法

感染患者术前均行抗感染治疗,术后常规抗生素处理。ER 组行 ER 治疗。患者患侧鼻腔表面麻醉,取平卧位,NVC 周围湿润麻醉。于患侧鼻前庭底部隆起位将黏膜与囊壁切开,将囊液吸出,于切口位将囊壁提起,沿切口将囊肿上部囊壁切除,切除范围向内至鼻中隔,向外至鼻腔外侧壁,向后至囊腔边缘,向前避免鼻前庭皮肤损伤,整修创缘,确保囊肿残缘和鼻黏膜切缘吻合,充分开放囊腔,以碘仿纱条填充鼻前庭,术后 2 d 取出。LSPR 组行 LSPR。患者取卧位,患侧唇齿沟至 NVC 位及 NVC 周围性浸润麻醉。于患侧上唇系带附近切开,于梨状孔方向行软组织分离,充分暴露囊壁后完整分离切除。以碘仿纱条填充鼻前庭,术后 2 d 取出。

1.3 观察指标

观察两组术中出血量、手术时间、术后创口愈合时间、术后 24 h 疼痛评分及上皮化时间等手术指标;两组术前、术后 7 d 白细胞介素 -6(IL-6)、白细胞介素 -8(IL-8)、肿瘤坏死因子 - α (TNF- α)、C- 反应蛋白(CRP)等炎性因子;两组术后并发症及复发情况。以视觉模拟评分(Visual analogue scale, VAS)法评价术后 24 h 疼痛评分。让患者按照疼痛程度选于 0~10 分间选

择数字,其中无疼痛:0 分,轻度疼痛:1~3 分,中度疼痛(可忍受、影响睡眠):4~6 分,重度疼痛(剧痛或疼痛难忍):7~10 分^[5];所有患者均抽取清晨空腹静脉血 5 mL,于离心机下常温离心 5 min, 3000 r/min, 充分离心后取血清 -20°C 冰箱保存待检。以酶联免疫吸附实验(Enzymelinkedimmunosorbentassay, ELISA)检测 IL-6、IL-8、TNF- α 及 CRP 等炎性因子,试剂盒为罗氏专用试剂盒。两组患者均随访 1 年。

1.4 统计学处理

以 SPSS 19.0 统计软件进行数据分析,定量资料以均数 \pm 标准差($\bar{x} \pm s$)描述,组内比较行配对 t 检验,组间比较行独立样本 t 检验;定性资料以例数结合率描述,组间比较行 χ^2 检验。检验水准 α 为 0.05。

2 结果

2.1 两组手术相关指标比较

ER 组术中出血量、手术时间、术后创口愈合时间、术后 24 h 疼痛评分及上皮化时间等手术指标均低于 LSPR 组,差异有统计学意义($P < 0.05$)。见表 2。

表 2 两组手术相关指标比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Table 2 Comparison of operative indexes of two groups($\bar{x} \pm s$)

| Groups | n | Intraoperative bleeding volume(ml) | Operation time (min) | The time of wound healing(d) | Postoperative 24h pain scores (score) | Epithelial time(d) |
|------------|----|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--------------------|
| ER group | 53 | 8.78 ± 0.91 | 11.68 ± 1.19 | 4.56 ± 0.48 | 2.06 ± 0.21 | 5.87 ± 0.57 |
| LSPR group | 53 | 40.32 ± 4.19 | 44.98 ± 4.60 | 6.78 ± 0.69 | 2.59 ± 0.25 | 8.65 ± 0.88 |
| t | | 53.552 | 51.022 | 19.228 | 10.480 | 19.303 |
| P | | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

2.2 两组术前、术后 7 d 炎性因子水平比较

术前,两组 IL-6、IL-8、TNF- α 及 CRP 水平差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$);术后 7 d,两组 IL-6、IL-8、TNF- α 及 CRP 水平均

降低,较术前差异均有统计学意义(P 均 <0.05),术后 7 d,ER 组 IL-6、IL-8、TNF- α 及 CRP 水平均低于 LSPR 组,差异均有统计学意义(P 均 <0.05)。见表 3。

表 3 两组术前、术后 7 d 炎性因子比较($\bar{x}\pm s$)

Table 3 Comparison of inflammatory factors between two groups before operation and 7 days after operation($\bar{x}\pm s$)

| Groups | n | IL-6(ng/L) | | IL-8(ng/L) | | TNF- α (ng/L) | | CRP(mg/L) | | |
|------------|----|------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | Before operation | 7 days after operation | Before operation | 7 days after operation | Before operation | 7 days after operation | Before operation | 7 days after operation | |
| ER group | 53 | 273.78 \pm | 83.98 \pm | 341.87 \pm | 151.87 \pm | 368.92 \pm | 75.98 \pm | 12.39 \pm 1.25 | 3.65 \pm 0.37* | |
| | | 27.42 | 8.52* | 14.22 | 15.32* | 36.93 | 7.66* | | | |
| LSPR group | 53 | 274.02 \pm | 127.86 \pm | 343.07 \pm | 206.86 \pm | 369.19 \pm | 138.72 \pm | 12.41 \pm 1.27 | 5.76 \pm 0.58* | |
| | | 27.44 | 13.92* | 14.30 | 21.73* | 37.02 | 14.03* | | | |
| | | 0.045 | 19.574 | 0.433 | 15.057 | 0.038 | 28.574 | 0.082 | 22.328 | |
| | | 0.964 | 0.000 | 0.666 | 0.000 | 0.970 | 0.000 | 0.935 | 0.000 | |

Note: Comparison with before operation, * $P<0.05$.

2.3 两组术后并发症及复发情况比较

ER 组术后并发症发生率(7.55%)、术后复发率(3.77%)均

低于 LSPR 组术后并发症发生率(22.64%)、术后复发率(20.75%),组间差异有统计学意义(P 均 <0.05)。见表 4。

表 4 两组术后并发症及复发比较[n(%)]

Table 4 Comparison of postoperative complications and recurrence between two groups

| Groups | n | Postoperative complications | | | | Postoperative recurrence |
|------------|----|-----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| | | Infect | Numb | Nasal vestibule discomfort | Upper lip swelling | |
| ER group | 53 | 0(0.00) | 2(3.77) | 1(1.89) | 1(1.89) | 4(7.55) |
| LSPR group | 53 | 4(7.55) | 3(5.66) | 2(3.77) | 3(5.66) | 12(22.64) |
| χ^2 | | 2.338 | 1.372 | 0.000 | 0.260 | 4.667 |
| P | | 0.126 | 0.241 | 1.000 | 0.610 | 0.031 |
| | | | | | | 7.035 |
| | | | | | | 0.008 |

3 讨论

NVC 为耳鼻喉科临床常见疾病,患者多因单侧鼻前庭内梨状孔周围或鼻翼处发现肿物而就诊^[6]。NVC 可堵塞鼻腔,压迫局部组织导致面部胀痛,若并发感染则疼痛将更加严重^[7]。目前,对于 NVC 的病因尚存在一定的争议^[8]。国外研究认为,胚胎期上颌突、内外侧鼻突结合部位发育不全,部分胚胎上皮发育成小型面裂囊肿,囊肿发育后可侵犯上颌窦、鼻腔以及上颌牙槽突,最终形成 NVC^[9]。部分学者认为,NVC 的发生是由于鼻腔鼻底腺体堵塞,致使分泌物过量堆积并最终导致 NVC^[10]。

手术切除是临床治疗 NVC 的主要方法^[11]。LSPR 视野广阔,暴露充分,便于切除囊壁以及 NVC 内壁上皮层,阻断 NVC 的病理进程^[12]。但鼻前庭皮肤相对较薄,皮下组织也较少,再加上 NVC 压迫骨质导致骨质吸收,彻底清除 NVC 存在较大困难^[13]。经口前庭分离创伤较大,术中常易导致 NVC 破裂,致使囊壁残存,较易复发。NVC 还易引发术后感染、面部肿胀、上唇麻木等并发症^[14]。此外,若 NVC 位于鼻甲底部外侧,单纯行唇齿沟径路切除难以完整切除,术后也易复发,影响患者预后^[15]。研究证明,由于 NVC 和鼻底部黏膜较为接近,且 NVC 内壁属于上皮组织,能够和鼻腔黏膜上皮愈合在一起,故以 ER 治疗 NVC 较为适宜^[16]。作为治疗 NVC 的新型术式,ER 可充分吻合

NVC 创缘和鼻黏膜切缘,在鼻腔内形成空间较大的囊腔,术后随着组织修复作用,囊腔可变浅或消失^[17]。作为上皮组织,术后囊壁和鼻腔上皮能够互相融合,成为鼻腔黏膜及鼻前庭的一部分^[18]。ER 尽管切除了部分囊壁及鼻黏膜,但并未改变鼻腔结构,对鼻腔功能影响较小,故术后并发症相对较少^[19]。此外,ER 于鼻内窥镜下完成,不但术野更加清晰,有利于手术操作,且创伤小,便于术后康复^[20,21]。在本研究中,ER 组各手术相关指标,术后并发症及复发率均优于 LSPR 组,提示 ER 治疗 NVC 较 LSPR 更具优势。

炎性因子是评价机体免疫功能的关键指标,其水平与机体是否发生炎性反应密切相关^[22]。CRP 是非特异性免疫的重要部分,为组织损伤、微生物入侵、炎性反应状态下肝脏产生的急性相蛋白^[23]。CRP 可结合配体,激活单核吞噬细胞和补体系统,清除载有配体的病原体或其他病原物质^[24]。IL-6 为淋巴因子,可促进 B 细胞前体转化为生成抗体的细胞,协同集落刺激因子提高原始骨髓源细胞活性,促进自然杀伤细胞分裂增生^[25,26]。IL-8 对免疫细胞具有较强的趋化作用,可有效激活和趋化嗜中性粒细胞、淋巴细胞等多种免疫细胞^[27,28]。TNF- α 可提高中性粒细胞活性,诱导肝脏合成 CRP,抵抗感染^[29]。研究证明,感染或自身免疫性疾病患者机体炎性因子水平均显著升高,可通过检测上述炎性因子水平评价患者机体炎性反应状态^[30]。在本研究中,

术前两组患者各炎性因子水平均相对较高，说明 NVC 患者机体普遍位于炎性状态，术后两组炎性因子水平均降低，且 ER 组低于 LSPR 组，其原因主要的 ER 创伤小，对机体干扰小，有利于术后免疫系统恢复。

综上所述，与 LSPR 相比较，ER 治疗 NVC 创伤小，恢复快，对机体影响小，可有效降低术后炎性因子水平，且术后并发症及复发率低，值得临床应用。此外，由于本研究选择的样本数较少，研究结果可能难以概括所有类型 NVC 患者，需于今后研究中增加样本数量，以获得更加全面准确的结果。

参考文献(References)

- [1] Huang Z, Li J, Yang Q, et al. A modified intranasal endoscopic excision for nasal vestibular cyst in China[J]. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol, 2015, 272(3): 591-595
- [2] Bondi S, Limardo P, Toma S, et al. Non-vestibular head and neck schwannomas: a 10-year experience [J]. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol, 2013, 270(8): 2365-2369
- [3] 张晓,王全桂,万喆.鼻前庭囊肿伴真菌感染一例[J].中华耳鼻咽喉头颈外科杂志, 2016, 51(6): 458-459
Zhang Xiao, Wang Quan-gui, Wan Zhe. One case of nasal vestibular cyst with fungal infection[J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, 2016, 51(6): 458-459
- [4] 钱建娥.鼻内镜下低温等离子刀射频消融术与唇龈沟径路囊肿切除术治疗鼻前庭囊肿的疗效比较 [J]. 中国临床医学, 2015, 22(4): 547-549
Qian Jian-e. Efficacy Comparison between Low Temperature-Controlled Plasma Radiofrequency Ablation by Nasal Endoscopy and Cystectomy via Labiogingival Groove Approach in the Treatment of Nasal Vestibular Cyst[J]. Chinese Journal of Clinical Medicine, 2015, 22(4): 547-549
- [5] 孙兵.视觉模拟评分法(VAS)[J].中华神经外科杂志, 2012, 28(6): 645-645
Sun Bing. Visual analogue scale(VAS)[J]. Chinese Journal of Neurosurgery, 2012, 28(6): 645-645
- [6] Choi JH, Cho JH, Kang HJ, et al. Nasolabial cyst, a retrospective analysis of 18[J]. Ear Nose Throat J, 2012, 81(2): 94-96
- [7] Boffano P, Callesio C, Campisi P, et al. Diagnosis and surgical treatment of a nasolabial cyst [J]. J Craniofae Surg, 2011, 22 (5): 1946-1948
- [8] 郝凯飞,闫朝晖,陶树东.内镜下经鼻行鼻前庭囊肿完整剥除术的疗效观察[J].中华耳鼻咽喉头颈外科杂志, 2017, 52(5): 361-364
Hao Kai-fei, Yan Zhao-hui, Tao Shu-dong. Effect of intranasal excision on nasal vestibular cyst under nasal endoscopy [J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, 2017, 52 (5): 361-364
- [9] Bendeck SE, Chow S, Bennett RG, et al. Staged trilobe pedicle flap to repair a large cutaneous lip and nasal vestibule defect[J]. Dermatologic Surgery, 2012, 38(3): 498-501
- [10] 郭斌.鼻内镜下低温等离子射频消融与传统方式切除鼻前庭囊肿的临床疗效观察[J].中国内镜杂志, 2017, 23(5): 53-56
Guo Bin. Comparison between low temperature-controlled plasma radio frequency ablation by nasal endoscopy and traditional way in treatment of nasal vestibular cyst [J]. China Journal of Endoscopy, 2017, 23(5): 53-56
- [11] 马行凯,马永明,陆建斌,等.鼻内镜下离子刀切除鼻前庭囊肿 18 例分析[J].中国中西医结合耳鼻咽喉科杂志, 2014, 22(1): 28-29
Ma Xing-kai, Ma Yong-ming, Lu Jian-bin, et al. Analysis of 18 Cases with Nasal Vestibular Cyst Excision under Nasal Endoscope Plasma Cutter [J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology of Integrated Traditional and Western Medicine, 2014, 22(1): 28-29
- [12] 吴兴宇,余文胜,周林.鼻前庭囊肿揭盖术与唇龈沟径路切除术的疗效比较[J].武汉大学学报(医学版), 2015, 36(5): 754-756
Wu Xing-yu, She Wen-sheng, Zhou Lin. Comparison Between Mucosalization and Labiogingival Groove Approach in the Treatment of Nasal Vestibular Cyst [J]. Medical Journal of Wuhan University, 2015, 36(5): 754-756
- [13] 岳显.不同手术方案在鼻前庭囊肿患者中的应用效果对比[J].安徽医药, 2015, 19(3): 518-520
Yue Xian. Application results comparing different surgical options in patients with nasal vestibule cyst[J]. Anhui Medical and Pharmaceutical Journal, 2015, 19(3): 518-520
- [14] 施芳.鼻前庭囊肿两种术式的临床分析[J].中国耳鼻咽喉颅底外科杂志, 2013, 19(3): 273-274
Shi Fang. Clinical analysis of two surgical methods for nasal vestibule cyst [J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-skull Base Surgery, 2013, 19(3): 273-274
- [15] 冀庆军,单亚萍,李大鹏,等.鼻内镜下两种手术方案在治疗鼻前庭囊肿中的临床疗效对比[J].中国耳鼻咽喉颅底外科杂志, 2016, 22 (6): 487-490
Ji Qing-jun, Shan Ya-ping, Li Da-peng, et al. Comparison of clinical effect between two endoscopic surgical methods for nasal vestibule cyst [J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-skull Base Surgery, 2016, 22(6): 487-490
- [16] 孙焕吉,卢永田,罗兴谷.两种鼻前庭囊肿手术疗效比较[J].山东医药, 2014, 54(32): 74-75
Sun Huan-ji, Lu Yong-tian, Luo Xing-gu. Comparing two surgical treatments for treatment of nasal vestibular cyst[J]. Shandong Medical Journal, 2014, 54(32): 74-75
- [17] 陈永振,梁天喜,薛博广.鼻前庭囊肿揭盖术与经唇龈沟鼻前庭囊肿切除术治疗鼻前庭囊肿的疗效对比观察 [J]. 中国临床新医学, 2017, 10(6): 521-523
Chen Yong-zhen, Liang Tian-xi, Xue Bo-guang. Comparison of the surgical removal of nasal vestibular cyst and the excision of the nasal vestibular cyst via the sulcus of the lips in treatment of nasal vestibular cyst [J]. Chinese Journal of New Clinical Medicine, 2017, 10(6): 521-523
- [18] 慕继霞,职良喜,赵勇.鼻内镜下揭盖法治疗鼻前庭囊肿临床分析 [J].中国耳鼻咽喉颅底外科杂志, 2015, 21(3): 254-255
Mu Ji-xia, Zhi Liang-xi, Zhao Yong. Clinical analysis of nasal endoscopy in the treatment of nasal vestibule cyst[J]. Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology-skull Base Surgery, 2015, 21(3): 254-255
- [19] 覃焕桦,林琳.鼻内镜下低温等离子射频消融术与囊肿揭盖术治疗鼻前庭囊肿的临床分析[J].河北医药, 2017, 39(8): 1231-1233
Qin Huan-hua, Lin Lin. Clinical analysis of nasal endoscopy with low temperature plasma radiofrequency ablation and cyst uncovering for nasal vestibule cyst[J]. Hebei Medical Journal, 2017, 39(8): 1231-1233

- [20] 赵艾君,谢鹏.鼻前庭囊肿揭盖术与传统唇龈沟径路鼻前庭囊肿切除术治疗鼻前庭囊肿的疗效对比[J].广西医科大学学报,2016,33(5): 880-882
Zhao Ai-jun, Xie Peng. Comparison of the efficacy of nasal vestibule dissecting and traditional labiocutaneous sulcus approach in the treatment of nasal vestibular tumefaction [J]. Journal of Guangxi Medical University, 2016, 33(5): 880-882
- [21] 余国跃,唐兵华. 鼻内镜下揭盖法治疗鼻前庭囊肿 24 例临床观察[J].西部医学,2012, 24(7): 1318-1319
She Guo-yue, Tang Bing-hua. Effect of uncovering under nasal endoscopy on treatment of nasal vestibular cyst [J]. Medical Journal of West China, 2012, 24(7): 1318-1319
- [22] 苟建军,张怡,王朝山,等.鼻内镜动力切削术对鼻前庭囊肿患者CRP、TNF- α 、IL-6 及 IL-8 的影响[J].现代生物医学进展,2015, 15(25): 4942-4945
Gou Jian-jun, Zhang Yi, Wang Zhao-shan, et al. LEflect of CRP, TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-8 in Patients with Nasal Vestibular Cyst by Nasal Endoscopic Surgery [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2015, 15(25): 4942-4945
- [23] Musilova I, Kacerovsky M, Stepan M, et al. Maternal serum C-reactive protein concentration and intra-amniotic inflammation in women with preterm prelabor rupture of membranes [J]. PLoS One, 2017, 12(8): e0182731
- [24] Buchheit J, Uhrig J, Sergent P, et al. Can preoperative CRP levels predict infections of bipolar hemiarthroplasty performed for femoral neck fracture? A retrospective, multicenter study [J]. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol, 2015, 25(1): 117-121
- [25] Drutskaya MS, Nosenko MA, Atretkhany KS, et al. Interleukin-6 From molecular mechanisms of signal transduction to physiological properties and therapeutic targeting[J]. Mol Biol (Mosk), 2015, 49(6): 937-943
- [26] Rao SA, Kunte AR. Interleukin-6: An Early Predictive Marker for Severity of Acute Pancreatitis[J]. Indian J Crit Care Med, 2017, 21(7): 424-428
- [27] Dong R, Chen G, Zheng S, et al. Hepatic expression of interleukin 8 in diagnosing biliary atresia[J]. Hepatology, 2015, 61(2): 731-732
- [28] Milovanovic J, Todorovic-Rakovic N, Abu Rabi Z. The role of interleukin 8 and matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 in breast cancer treated with tamoxifen[J]. J Buon, 2017, 22(3): 628-637
- [29] 王少勇,张忠民,黄进堂,等.腹腔镜与开腹手术对胃癌根治术患者TNF- α 和 IL-6 水平的影响研究[J].现代生物医学进展,2015, 15(3): 481-483
Wang Shao-yong, Zhang Zhong-min, Huang Jin-tang, et al. Effect of Laparoscopic Surgery and Open Surgical Resection on TNF- α and IL-6 Levels in Patients with Radical Gastrectomy [J]. Progress in Modern Biomedicine, 2015, 15(3): 481-483
- [30] 孙桂好,孙宏亮,王海鹏.血清与脑脊液中炎性因子检测对颅内感染早期诊断的临床意义 [J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2014, 24(18): 4665-4667
Sun Gui-hao, Sun Hong-liang, Wang Hai-peng. Study on clinical significance of inflammatory cytokines in serum and cerebrospinal fluid testing for early diagnosis of intracranial infection[J]. Chinese Journal of Nosocomiology, 2014, 24(18): 4665-4667

(上接第 3309 页)

- [21] 陈磊,郑树艳,黄永望,等.MTA2 对喉癌细胞系 Hep-2 的增殖、迁移和侵袭的影响[J].中国实验诊断学,2015, 19(6): 883-885
Chen Lei, Zheng Shu-yan, Huang Yong-wang, et al. MTA2 influence on cell proliferation, migration, and invasion of laryngeal Hep-2 cells [J]. Chinese Journal of Laboratory Diagnosis, 2015, 19(6): 883-885
- [22] Lu Q, Lv M, Xu E, et al. Recombinant hirudin suppresses the viability, adhesion, migration and invasion of Hep-2 human laryngeal cancer cells[J]. Oncol Rep, 2015, 33(3): 1358-1364
- [23] Mao W, Sun Y, Zhang H, et al. A combined modality of carboplatin and photodynamic therapy suppresses epithelial-mesenchymal transition and matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2)/MMP-9 expression in HEp-2 human laryngeal cancer cells via ROS-mediated inhibition of MEK/ERK signalling pathway [J]. Lasers Med Sci, 2016, 31 (8): 1697-1705
- [24] Popov TM, Stancheva G, Goranova TE, et al. Strong Correlation Between mRNA Expression Levels of HIF-2 α , VEGFR1, VEGFR2 and MMP2 in Laryngeal Carcinoma [J]. Pathol Oncol Res, 2016, 22(4): 741-746
- [25] Popov TM, Goranova T, Stancheva G, et al. Relative quantitative expression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1 α , -2 α and -3 α , and vascular endothelial growth factor A in laryngeal carcinoma[J]. Oncol Lett, 2015, 9(6): 2879-2885
- [26] Akdeniz O, Akduman D, Haksever M, et al. Relationships between clinical behavior of laryngeal squamous cell carcinomas and expression of VEGF, MMP-9 and E-cadherin [J]. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2013, 14(9): 5301-5310
- [27] Zhang LP, Chen HL. Increased vascular endothelial growth factor expression predicts a worse prognosis for laryngeal cancer patients: a meta-analysis[J]. J Laryngol Otol, 2017, 131(1): 44-50
- [28] 李娜,贾秀红.FHIT 基因与肿瘤 [J]. 国际肿瘤学杂志,2013,40(8): 563-566
Li Na, Jia Xiu-hong. FHIT gene and tumor[J]. Journal of International Oncology, 2013, 40(8): 563-566
- [29] Jacomasso T, Trombetta-Lima M, Sogayar MC, et al. Downregulation of reversion-inducing cysteine-rich protein with Kazal motifs in malignant melanoma: inverse correlation with membrane-type 1-matrix metalloproteinase and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 2 [J]. Melanoma Res, 2014, 24(1): 32-39
- [30] 左文娜.回复引半胱氨酸丰富蛋白 Kazal 基元、基质金属蛋白酶-14、内皮抑素及血管内皮生长因子在喉癌中的表达及相关性研究 [J].中国现代医学杂志, 2015, 25(23): 71-75
Zuo Wen-na. Expressions of RECK, MMP-14, endostatin and VEGF in laryngeal cancer and their correlations[J]. China Journal of Modern Medicine, 2015, 25(23): 71-75