

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2018.19.012

新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术对晚期卵巢癌患者的疗效 及对血流动力学参数的影响*

吴利英 魏 莉 谢婷婷 双 婷 李玲霞

(空军军医大学第一附属医院妇产科 陕西 西安 710032)

摘要 目的:探讨新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术对晚期卵巢癌患者的疗效及对血流参数的影响。**方法:**选取 2015 年 1 月-2017 年 6 月我院诊治的 158 例晚期卵巢癌患者,按治疗方法分为对照组、观察组,各 79 例。对照组使用肿瘤细胞减灭术进行常规卵巢癌治疗,观察组在常规基础上联合新辅助化疗进行治疗。比较两组临床疗效、治疗前后血流动力学参数的变化及不良反应的发生情况。**结果:**治疗后,观察组化疗的表观缓解率(83.54%)显著高于对照组(62.03%)($P<0.05$)。两组患者治疗前各血流动力学参数比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$),观察组术后 1、4、12 周颈动脉收缩期最大流速(peak systolic velocity, PSV)均显著低于对照组($P<0.05$),血管阻力指数(resistance index, RI)、搏动指数(pulsatility index, PI)均显著高于对照组($P<0.05$)。两组患者在治疗期间出现的心脏毒性反应的发生率比较差异无统计学意义($P>0.05$),对照组患者治疗期间骨髓抑制、恶心呕吐、肝脏损伤、肾脏损伤的不良反应程度显著低于观察组($P<0.05$)。**结论:**新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术能够显著提高患者的临床疗效,改善卵巢的血流动力学参数。

关键词: 卵巢癌;肿瘤细胞减灭术;新辅助化疗;血流参数

中图分类号: R737.31 **文献标识码:** A **文章编号:** 1673-6273(2018)19-3657-04

Clinical Efficacy of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Combined with Cytoreductive Surgery in the Treatment of Patients with Advanced Ovarian Cancer and Its Influence on the Blood Flow Parameters*

WU Li-ying, WEI Li, XIE Ting-ting, SHUANG Ting, LI Ling-xia

(Obstetrics and Gynecology Department, Xijing Hospital of Air Force Military Medical University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, 710032, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To explore the clinical efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with cytoreductive surgery in the treatment of patients with advanced ovarian cancer and its influence on the blood flow parameters. **Methods:** 158 cases of patients with advanced ovarian cancer admitted in our hospital from January 2015 to June 2017. All patients were divided into the control group and the observation group according to the treatment methods, 79 cases in each group. The control group was treated with cytoreductive surgery. The observation group was treated with neoadjuvant chemotherapy on a routine basis. The clinical efficacy, changes of blood flow parameters before and after treatment and incidence of adverse reactions were compared between two groups. **Results:** The apparent response rate (83.54%) of observation group was significantly higher than that in the control group (62.03%) ($P<0.05$). There was no significant difference in the blood flow parameters between the two groups before treatment ($P>0.05$). The PSV of observation group at 1, 4, and 12 weeks postoperation were significantly lower than those of the control group ($P<0.05$). The RI and PI of observation group were significantly higher than those of the control group ($P<0.05$). There was no significant difference in the incidence of cardiotoxicity between the two groups during treatment ($P>0.05$). In the control group, the degree of adverse reactions of myelosuppression, nausea and vomiting, liver injury and renal injury were significantly lower than those in the observation group during the treatment ($P<0.05$). **Conclusions:** Neoadjuvant chemotherapy combined with cytoreductive surgery can more effectively improve the clinical efficacy of patients and improve the ovarian blood flow parameters than cytoreductive surgery alone.

Key words: Ovarian carcinoma; Cytoreductive surgery; Neoadjuvant chemotherapy; Blood flow parameter

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R737.31 **Document code:** A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2018)19-3657-04

前言

卵巢癌是女性生殖系统常见恶性肿瘤,发病率达 5%,仅次于宫颈癌、子宫内膜癌,5 年生存率仅 30%,死亡率居于妇科

肿瘤的首位,严重影响患者的身体健康、生命质量^[1,2]。由于卵巢的胚胎发育、组织结构、内分泌功能等较复杂,卵巢癌发病早期症状不显著,70%患者在确诊时常常已到中晚期(III 期-IV 期),恶性肿瘤已扩散至子宫、大网膜、双侧附件等部位,给临床

* 基金项目:国家自然科学基金项目(81702555)

作者简介:吴利英(1983-),女,硕士,主治医师,研究方向:妇科肿瘤,E-mail: wuliying1005@163.com

(收稿日期:2018-03-18 接受日期:2018-04-13)

治疗和患者预后带来较大难度^[3,4]。

卵巢恶性肿瘤中上皮癌、恶性生殖细胞肿瘤是常见类型,临床中治疗卵巢癌以手术治疗为主,辅以放疗、化疗,即肿瘤减灭术联合术后化疗是目前临床中常见的治疗方法,手术的目的是尽可能的切除肿瘤灶,使残余肿瘤灶最大直径 <1 cm,实现满意肿瘤细胞减灭术。但受到肿瘤灶位置、大小、医师个人手术经验等的影响,满意肿瘤细胞减灭术的患者仅占 40 % 左右,而未达到满意的患者的预后较差^[5-7],故临床中迫切需要一种新的治疗模式来改善以上的情况。本研究采用新辅助化疗联合肿瘤

细胞减灭术治疗晚期卵巢癌的疗效较好,现报道如下。

1 材料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选取 2015 年 1 月 -2017 年 6 月我院诊治的 158 例晚期卵巢癌患者,按治疗方法分为对照组、观察组,各 79 例。两组基线资料比较无显著差异($P>0.05$),见表 1。本研究已通过我院伦理委员会审批。

表 1 两组基线资料比较($n, \bar{x} \pm s$)

Table 1 Comparison of general information between two groups ($n, \bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	Age(yesrs)	FIGO stage	Pathological type	Hostological grade
		IIIc stage/ IVstage	Serous carcinoma/clear cell carcinoma/mucinous carcinoma/endometrioid carcinoma	Poorly differentiated/moderately differentiated/highly differentiated
Control group($n=79$)	54.6 $\pm$ 7.5	54/25	52/12/9/6	18/38/23
Observation Group($n=79$)	53.9 $\pm$ 8.1	57/22	53/12/7/7	16/41/22
t or χ^2		0.261	0.373	0.268
P		0.610	0.933	0.874

1.2 纳入及排除标准

纳入标准:① 经病理学检查确诊为卵巢癌;② 病理分期为 IIIc 期或 IV 期;③ 预计生存期 >5 个化疗周期;④ 无化疗禁忌证;⑤ 无手术禁忌证;⑥ 已签知情同意书。排除标准:① 影像学显示有肺部、肝脏等转移;② 合并重大器官疾病及功能障碍;③ 合并神经系统、内分泌系统、血液系统疾病者;④ 合并其它恶性肿瘤者;⑤ 资料不全或中途退出研究者。

1.3 治疗方法

对照组使用肿瘤细胞减灭术进行常规卵巢癌治疗,手术范围包括全子宫、大网膜、双附件、盆腔淋巴结清扫、阑尾切除等,1 个月后行常规化疗方案:50-70 mg/m² 顺铂腹腔滴注 135-175 mg/m² 紫杉醇静脉滴注进行化疗,21 d 为 1 个疗程,共进行 3 个疗程。观察组在术前行新辅助化疗,用顺铂紫杉醇化疗,共 3 疗程,1 个月后行常规治疗,方法同对照组。

1.4 观察指标

(1)疗效^⑧:完全缓解(complete remission, CR),靶病灶全部消失,无新病灶出现,血清糖类抗原 125(CA125)≤ 35 U/ml;部分缓解 (partial remission, PR),靶病灶最大直径之和减少 ≥ 30 %,维持 4 w,血清 CA125 > 35 U/ml 或低于最初的 50 % 以上;疾病稳定(stable disease, SD),靶病灶最大直径之和未达部分缓

解,或增大未达疾病进展,血清 CA125 未见明显升高;疾病进展(progressive disease, PD),靶病灶最大直径之和至少增加 20 %,或出现新病灶,血清 CA125 显著升高。表观缓解率=(完全缓解例数 部分缓解例数)/总例数× 100 %。(2)血流参数:于治疗前、术后 1、4、12 w 使用彩色多普勒超声诊断仪(Philips IU22)对患者的颈动脉收缩期最大流速 (peak systolic velocity, PSV)、血管阻力指数(resistance index, RI)、搏动指数(pulsatility index, PI)进行检测。(3)不良反应^⑨:观察并记录患者治疗期间出现的心脏毒性反应、骨髓移植、肝肾损伤等不良反应的发生情况。轻度、中度、严重反应分别以 I、II、III 级表示,功能丧失 / 威胁生命用 IV 级表示,发生相关死亡以 V 级表示。

1.5 统计学分析

用 SPSS 20.0 软件对数据进行分析,计量资料用($\bar{x} \pm s$)表示,组间比较采用 t 检验,计数资料以[n(%)]表示,组间比较次要 χ^2 检验。以 $P<0.05$ 表示差异有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 两组患者临床疗效的比较

治疗后,观察组化疗的表观缓解率(83.54 %)显著高于对照组(62.03 %)($P<0.05$),见表 2。

表 2 两组患者临床疗效的比较[例(%)]

Table 2 Comparison of clinical efficacy between two groups[n(%)]

Groups	CR	PR	SD	PD	Apparent remission rate
Control group($n=79$)	9(11.39)	40(50.63)	22(27.85)	8(10.13)	49(62.03)
Observation group ($n=79$)	18(22.78)	48(60.76)	11(13.92)	2(2.53)	66(83.54)
P					0.002

- 2.2 两组患者治疗前后血流动力学参数的比较 (P>0.05), 观察组术后 1、4、12 w PSV 均显著低于对照组(P<0.05), RI、PI 均显著高于对照组(P<0.05), 见表 3。
- 两组患者治疗前血流动力学参数比较差异无统计学意义

表 3 两组患者治疗前后血流动力学参数的比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)Table 3 Comparison of the blood flow parameters between two groups before and after treatment($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	PSV (cm/s)	RI	PI
Control group(n=79)			
Before treatment	26.12± 2.28	0.36± 0.03	0.62± 0.05
After operation 1 week	23.34± 2.05	0.41± 0.03	0.84± 0.06
After operation 4 weeks	20.37± 2.11	0.54± 0.04	1.01± 0.06
After operation 12 weeks	18.54± 2.08	0.63± 0.05	1.22± 0.09
Observation group(n=79)			
Before treatment	26.08± 2.67	0.35± 0.03	0.63± 0.06
After operation 1 week	21.04± 2.04*	0.61± 0.05*	1.12± 0.08*
After operation 4 weeks	17.58± 1.86*	0.73± 0.05*	1.41± 0.11*
After operation 12 weeks	14.85± 1.92*	0.82± 0.06*	1.62± 0.13*

Note: compared with control group, *P<0.05.

- 2.3 两组患者不良反应的发生情况比较 肝脏损伤、肾脏损伤的不良反应程度显著低于观察组(P<0.05), 两组患者在治疗期间出现的心脏毒性反应的发生率间无 见表 4。
- 显著差异(P>0.05), 对照组患者治疗期间骨髓抑制、恶心呕吐、

表 4 两组患者不良反应的发生情况比较[例(%)]

Table 4 Comparison of occurrence of adverse reactions between two groups[n(%)]

Adverse reactions		Control group(n=79)	Observation group(n=79)	P
Heart toxic reactions	I stage and below I stage	75(94.94)	72(91.14)	0.348
	II -III stage	4(5.06)	7(8.86)	
Bone marrow supression	I stage and below I stage	66(83.54)	56(70.89)	0.028
	II -III stage	13(16.46)	23(29.11)	
Nausea and vomit	I stage and below I stage	71(89.87)	59(74.68)	0.005
	II -III stage	8(10.13)	20(25.32)	
Liver injury	I stage and below I stage	70(88.61)	61(77.22)	0.024
	II -III stage	9(11.39)	18(22.78)	
Kidney injury	I stage and below I stage	72(91.14)	64(81.01)	0.042
	II -III stage	7(8.86)	15(18.99)	

3 讨论

卵巢癌具有起病隐匿、早期症状不明显、早期诊断困难且易扩散的特点^[10,11],患者在确诊时已有 70-80 %处于中晚期阶段(III-IV 期),错过了最佳的治疗时间,且该病治疗后复发率高达 70 %,因此卵巢癌死亡率居于妇科恶性肿瘤的首位^[12-14]。2012 年,NCCN 诊疗指南推荐的标准治疗方案是肿瘤细胞减灭术联合术后基础化疗^[15],但效果不够理想。近些年,术前新辅助化疗已越来越多的应用于晚期卵巢癌的治疗中,即在肿瘤细胞减灭术前现接受一定次数化疗的治疗方案,有研究^[16]显示新辅助化疗能够有效降低肿瘤负荷、提高手术成功率、改善患者预后。

本研究对观察组患者先进行新辅助化疗方案,结果显示与

实施常规化疗方案的对照组相比,观察组化疗的缓解率(83.54 %)显著高于对照组(62.03 %),提示新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术能够显著提高患者的临床治疗效果。分析原因可能与术前化疗存在以下优点有关:① 可有效消灭原发病灶和转移灶、减少肿瘤与周围组织的粘连,有助于减少术中出血、缩短手术时长、提高手术成功率^[17,18];② 可减少胸腔积液、腹水量,利于患者的围术期管理与全身状况改善,提高手术的安全性及患者耐受性^[19,20];③ 能够降低肿瘤细胞活性、侵袭力,减少手术操作引起的肿瘤转移等相关问题,降低术后复发^[21,22];④ 术后可在较短时间内开始化疗,利于治疗的连贯性,以达到有效控制肿瘤的目的^[23,24]。Yang、Conrad 等^[25,26]研究结果显示新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术可有效提高晚期卵巢癌患者的治疗总有效率,与本研

究结果一致。

此外, 观察组术后 1、4、12 w PSV 均显著低于对照组, RI、PI 均显著高于对照组, 体现了新辅助化疗对卵巢血流参数改善的积极影响, 与其治疗效果密切相关, 与 Philip 等^[27]研究结果一致。本研究结果显示两组患者在治疗期间出现的心脏毒性反应的发生率间无显著差异, 对照组患者治疗期间骨髓抑制、恶心呕吐、肝脏损伤、肾脏损伤的不良反应程度显著低于观察组, 这可能与观察组患者在术前比对照组多实施了化疗治疗有关。观察组患者在术后化疗中, 由于机体处于创伤后恢复阶段, 身体素质较差, 再次化疗则会对机体的损伤更严重^[28-30]。本研究中仍存在样本量不够大、缺少围术期手术相关指标、治疗前后肿瘤标记物的检测等方面的不足, 仍需再进一步深入研究。

综上所述, 新辅助化疗联合肿瘤细胞减灭术能够显著提高患者的临床疗效, 改善卵巢的血流动力学参数。

参考文献(References)

- [1] Ba M, Long H, Zhang X, et al. Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Perfusion Chemotherapy and Cytoreductive Surgery for Controlling Malignant Ascites From Ovarian Cancer[J]. International Journal of Gynecological Cancer, 2016, 26(9): 1571-1579
- [2] Sun J H, Ji Z H, Yu Y, et al. Cytoreductive Surgery plus Hyperthermic Intraperitoneal Chemotherapy to Treat Advanced/Recurrent Epithelial Ovarian Cancer: Results from a Retrospective Study on Prospectively Established Database[J]. Translational Oncology, 2016, 9(2): 130-138
- [3] Mueller J J, Kelly A, Zhou Q, et al. Intraperitoneal chemotherapy outcomes following interval cytoreductive surgery for advanced-stage ovarian cancer at a comprehensive cancer center[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 141: 29-29
- [4] Lin E K, Hsieh M C, Chen C H, et al. Outcomes of cytoreductive surgery and hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy for colorectal cancer with peritoneal metastasis[J]. Medicine, 2016, 95(52): e5522
- [5] Mueller J J, Zhou Q C, Iasonos A, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and primary debulking surgery utilization for advanced-stage ovarian cancer at a comprehensive cancer center [J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 140(3): 436-442
- [6] Liang H, Guo H, Zhang C, et al. Feasibility and outcome of primary laparoscopic cytoreductive surgery for advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: a comparison to laparotomic surgery in retrospective cohorts[J]. Oncotarget, 2017, 8(68): 113239-113247
- [7] Eoh K J, Lee J Y, Yoon J W, et al. Role of systematic lymphadenectomy as part of primary debulking surgery for optimally cytoreduced advanced ovarian cancer: Reappraisal in the era of radical surgery[J]. Oncotarget, 2017, 8(23): 37807-37816
- [8] Sleightholm R, Foster J M, Smith L, et al. The American Society of Peritoneal Surface Malignancies Multi-Institution evaluation of 1,051 advanced ovarian cancer patients undergoing cytoreductive surgery and HIPEC: An introduction of the peritoneal surface disease severity score[J]. Journal of Surgical Oncology, 2016, 114(7): 779-784
- [9] Di D V, Kontopantelis E, Aletti G, et al. Trends in Mortality After Primary Cytoreductive Surgery for Ovarian Cancer: A Systematic Review and Metaregression of Randomized Clinical Trials and Observational Studies [J]. Annals of Surgical Oncology, 2017, 24 (6): 1688-1697
- [10] Lim M C, Yoo H J, Song Y J, et al. Survival outcomes after extensive cytoreductive surgery and selective neoadjuvant chemotherapy according to institutional criteria in bulky stage IIIC and IV epithelial ovarian cancer[J]. Journal of Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 28(4): e48
- [11] Pelissier A, Bonneau C, Chéreau E, et al. Dynamic Analysis of CA125 Decline During Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Patients with Epithelial Ovarian Cancer as a Predictor for Platinum Sensitivity[J]. Anticancer Research, 2016, 36(4): 1865-1871
- [12] Kessous R, Laskov I, Abitbol J, et al. Clinical outcome of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced ovarian cancer [J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 144(3): 474-479
- [13] Melamed A, Hinchcliff E M, Clemmer J T, et al. Trends in the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced ovarian cancer in the United States[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 143(2): 236-240
- [14] Kelemen L E, Warren G W, Koziak J M, et al. Smoking may modify the association between neoadjuvant chemotherapy and survival from ovarian cancer[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 140(1): 124-130
- [15] Akladios C, Baldauf J J, Marchal F, et al. Does the Number of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Cycles before Interval Debulking Surgery Influence Survival in Advanced Ovarian Cancer?[J]. Oncology, 2016, 91(6): 331-340
- [16] Becker D A, Thomas E D, Gilbert A L, et al. Improved outcomes with dose-dense paclitaxel-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy in advanced epithelial ovarian carcinoma[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 142(1): 25-29
- [17] Montgomery A M, Crossman D K, Yang E S, et al. Molecular response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in high-grade serous ovarian carcinoma[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 141(1): 151-152
- [18] Gadzinski J A, Taylor S E, Courtney B M B, et al. The increasing use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy for the treatment of epithelial ovarian carcinoma in the United States: A study of practice patterns[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 141: 197-197
- [19] Dizon D S. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for newly diagnosed ovarian cancer: It's all about selection [J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 144 (2): 241-242
- [20] Antoneeva I I, Abakumova T V, Dolgova D R, et al. Cytokine Status of Serum In Ovarian Cancer Patients With Different Tumor Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy Response [J]. Anti-cancer agents in medicinal chemistry, 2017, 17(9): 1251-1255
- [21] Hacker N F. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy for advanced epithelial ovarian cancer. Who really benefits?[J]. Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, 2017, 57(6): 585-587
- [22] Barber E L, Dusetzina S B, Stitzenberg K B, et al. Variation in neoadjuvant chemotherapy utilization for epithelial ovarian cancer at high volume hospitals in the United States and associated survival [J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 145(3): 500-507
- [23] Pepin K, Bregar A, Davis M, et al. Intensive care admissions among ovarian cancer patients treated with primary debulking surgery and neoadjuvant chemotherapy-interval debulking surgery[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 147(3): 612-616
- [24] Chavan D M, Huang Z, Song K, et al. Incidence of venous thromboembolism following the neoadjuvant chemotherapy regimen for epithelial type of ovarian cancer[J]. Medicine, 2017, 96(42): e7935

- aerosol inhalation in the treatment of acute attack of bronchial asthma [J]. Contemporary medicine, 2017, 23(03): 126-127
- [4] Behmanesh F, Moharreri F, Soltanifar A et al. Evaluation of anxiety and depression in mothers of children with asthma[J]. Electron Physician, 2017, 9(12): 6058-6062
- [5] 羊礼荣,顾倩,杨晓光,等.硫酸镁联合硫酸沙丁胺醇雾化吸入治疗小儿重度支气管哮喘急性发作的临床观察 [J]. 中国药房, 2016, 27(23): 3252-3254
- Yang Li-rong, Gu Qian, Yang Xiao-guang, et al. Clinical observation of magnesium sulfate combined with salbutamol sulphate inhalation in the treatment of acute attack of severe bronchial asthma in children [J]. Chinese pharmacy, 2016, 27(23): 3252-3254
- [6] Giubergia V, Ramirez Farias MJ, Perez V et al. Severe asthma in pediatrics: Outcomes of the implementation of a special health care protocol[J]. Arch Argent Pediatr, 2018, 116(2): 105-111
- [7] 任登华,庄谊,黄永刚. BiPAP 治疗重度支气管哮喘急性发作期的临床效果及肺功能、血气指标和炎症因子水平变化情况[J]. 检验医学与临床, 2016, 13(23): 3311-3313+3316
- Ren Deng-hua, Zhuang Yi, Huang Yong-gang. Effect of BiPAP on the clinical effect and lung function, blood gas index and inflammatory factor level in patients with severe bronchial asthma [J]. Medical and clinical examination, 2016, 13(23): 3311-3313+3316
- [8] Jahnz-Rózyk K, Lis J, Warchol M et al. Clinical and economic impact of a one-year treatment with omalizumab in patients with severe allergic asthma within a drug programme in Poland [J]. BMC Pulm Med, 2018, 18(1): 48
- [9] 林江涛,祝堪珠,王家骥,等.中国支气管哮喘防治指南(基层版)[J]. 中国实用内科杂志, 2013, 33(08): 615-622
- Lin Jiang-tao, Zhu Shan-zhu, Wang Jia-ji, et al. Guidelines for prevention and treatment of bronchial asthma in China (grassroots edition)[J]. Chinese journal of practical medicine, 2013, 33(08): 615-622
- [10] Panettieri RA Jr, Wang M, Braddock M, et al. Tralokinumab for the treatment of severe, uncontrolled asthma: the ATMOSPHERE clinical development program[J]. Immunotherapy, 2018, 10(6): 473-490
- [11] 李远西. 普米克令舒雾化联合孟鲁司特钠治疗对支气管哮喘患儿肺功能及炎症状态的影响 [J]. 西北国防医学杂志, 2017, 38(02): 121-124
- Li Yuan-xi. The effect of pumicuke on the pulmonary function and inflammatory state of children with bronchial asthma[J]. Northwestern national defense medical journal, 2013, 38(02): 121-124
- [12] Matera MG, Rogliani P, Calzetta L et al. Benralizumab for the treatment of asthma[J]. Drugs Today (Bare), 2017, 53(12): 633-645
- [13] 朱天吉,张卿. IL-17 和 ECP 与哮喘患者临床病情轻、重程度的关联性分析[J]. 临床肺科杂志, 2017, 22(03): 424-427
- Zhu Tian-ji, Zhang Qing. Analysis of the correlation between il-17 and ECP and the clinical severity of asthma in patients with asthma [J]. Clinical pulmonary journal, 2011, 22(03): 424-427
- [14] 梅湛强,胡少枝,罗志杨. 哮喘患者 FeNO 水平的影响因素及与气流阻塞的关系[J]. 临床肺科杂志, 2017, 22(06): 1040-1043
- Mei Zhan-qiang, Hu Shao-zhi, Luo Zhi-yang. The influence factors of FeNO level in asthmatic patients and the relationship with airflow obstruction[J]. Clinical pulmonary journal, 2013, 22(06): 1040-1043
- [15] Delimpoura V, Bonstantzoglou C, Nenna R, et al. Novel therapies for severe asthma in children and adults[J]. Breathe (Sheff), 2018, 14(1): 59-62
- [16] O'Byrne PM, FitzGerald JM, Bateman ED, et al. Inhaled Combined Budesonide-Formoterol as Needed in Mild Asthma[J]. N Engl J Med, 2018, 378(20): 1865-1876
- [17] Nasreen S, Nessa A, Islam F, et al. Changes of Peak Expiratory Flow Rate in Adult Asthmatic Patient[J]. Mymensingh Med J, 2018, 27(2): 245-250
- [18] Bateman ED, Reddel HK, O'Byrne PM, et al. As-Needed Budesonide-Formoterol versus Maintenance Budesonide in Mild Asthma[J]. N Engl J Med, 2018, 378(20): 1877-1887
- [19] Fallah S, Mesdaghi M, Mansouri M et al. Severe Combined Immunodeficiency: A Case Series and Review from a Tertiary Pediatric Hospital[J]. Iran J Allergy Asthma Immunol, 2018, 17(2): 201-207
- [20] Kassisse E, García H, Prada L, et al. Prevalence of Mycoplasma pneumoniae infection in pediatric patients with acute asthma exacerbation [J]. Arch Argent Pediatr, 2018, 116(3): 179-185
- [21] Burg GT, Covar R, Oland AA, et al. The Tempest: Difficult to Control Asthma in Adolescence[J]. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract, 2018, 6(3): 738-748
- [22] Rapięko P, Jurkiewicz D, Pietruszewska W, et al. Treatment strategy of allergic rhinitis in the face of modern world threats[J]. Otolaryngol Pol, 2018, 72(2): 1-12
- [23] Liong S, Barker G, Lappas M. Bromodomain protein BRD4 is increased in human placentas from women with early-onset preeclampsia[J]. Reproduction, 2018, 155(6): 573-582

(上接第 3660 页)

- [25] Yang L, Zhang B, Xing G, et al. Neoadjuvant chemotherapy versus primary debulking surgery in advanced epithelial ovarian cancer: A meta-analysis of peri-operative outcome [J]. Plos One, 2017, 12(10): e0186725
- [26] Conrad L B, Schmidt S, Bailey A A, et al. Patients with Sarcopenia Benefit from Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy in Advanced Ovarian Cancer[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2017, 147(1): 226
- [27] Philip C A, Pelissier A, Bonneau C, et al. Impact of Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy on the Rate of Bowel Resection in Advanced Epithelial Ovarian Cancer[J]. Anticancer Research, 2016, 36(9): 4865-4871
- [28] Ducoulombier S, Golfier F, Colombar O, et al. Modeling CA-125 During Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy for Predicting Optimal Cytoreduction and Relapse Risk in Ovarian Cancer[J]. Anticancer Research, 2017, 37(12): 6879-6886
- [29] Gill S E, McGree M E, Weaver A L, et al. Optimizing the treatment of ovarian cancer: Neoadjuvant chemotherapy and interval debulking versus primary debulking surgery for epithelial ovarian cancers likely to have suboptimal resection[J]. Gynecologic Oncology, 2016, 144(2): 266-273
- [30] Luo Y, Maria L, Seung K H, et al. Effect of neoadjuvant chemotherapy on platinum resistance in stage IIIC and IV epithelial ovarian cancer [J]. Medicine, 2016, 95(36): e4797