

doi: 10.13241/j.cnki.pmb.2022.07.037

阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的效果 及对T₄、TSH及脂联素的影响*

刘 彤¹ 刘 帆¹ 史淑月¹ 孟银萍¹ 王 鹏^{2△}

(1 保定市第二中心医院内分泌科 河北 保定 072750;2 青岛市中医医院(市海慈医院)内分泌科 山东 青岛 266000)

摘要 目的:探讨阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的效果及对甲状腺素(T₄)、促甲状腺激素(TSH)及脂联素的影响。**方法:**选择2019年1月-2021年1月在我院接受治疗的105例糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进患者,采用随机数表法分为试验组(n=53)和对照组(n=52)。对照组给予甲巯咪唑和甘精胰岛素治疗,试验组在对照组的基础上加用阿卡波糖治疗。比较两组临床疗效、T₄、TSH及脂联素、空腹血糖(FBG)、餐后2 h血糖(PBG)、胰岛素抵抗(Homa-IR)、胰岛β细胞指数(Homa-β)水平变化情况及不良反应发生情况。**结果:**治疗后,两组总有效率比较差异显著($P<0.05$)。治疗前,试验组和对照组血清T₄、TSH及脂联素比较无显著差异;治疗后,试验组和对照组血清T₄及脂联素均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,TSH随着时间的推移而升高,且试验组高于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$)。治疗前,试验组和对照组FBG、PBG比较无显著差异;治疗后,试验组和对照组FBG、PBG均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$)。治疗前,试验组和对照组Homa-IR、Homa-β比较无显著差异;治疗后,试验组和对照组Homa-IR、Homa-β均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$)。两组不良反应总发生率为3.77%、9.62%,无显著差异($P>0.05$)。**结论:**在糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进中应用阿卡波糖辅助治疗疗效显著,可有效改善患者T₄、TSH及脂联素水平。

关键词:阿卡波糖;辅助治疗;糖尿病;甲状腺功能亢进;甲状腺素;促甲状腺激素;脂联素

中图分类号:R587.1;R581 文献标识码:A 文章编号:1673-6273(2022)07-1371-04

Effect of Acarbose in the Adjuvant Treatment of Diabetes Mellitus Complicated with Hyperthyroidism and Its Effect on T₄, TSH and Adiponectin*

LIU Tong¹, LIU Fan¹, SHI Shu-yue¹, MENG Yin-ping¹, WANG Peng^{2△}

(1 Department of Endocrinology, Baoding Second Central Hospital, Baoding, Hebei, 072750, China;

2 Department of Endocrinology of Qingdao Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine (Municipal Haizi Hospital),
Qingdao, Shandong, 266000, China)

ABSTRACT Objective: To study Effect of acarbose in the adjuvant treatment of diabetes mellitus complicated with hyperthyroidism and its effect on Thyroid hormone (T₄), thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and adiponectin. **Methods:** 105 diabetic patients with hyperthyroidism who received treatment in our hospital from January 2019 to January 2021 were selected and divided into experimental group (n=53) and control group (n=52) by random number table method. Methimazole and insulin glargin were given to the control group, and acarbose was added to the control group. Clinical efficacy, T₄, TSH, adiponectin, fasting blood glucose (FBG), 2 h postprandial blood glucose (PBG), insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), islet β-cell index (HOMA-β) and the incidence of ADR were compared between the two groups. **Results:** After treatment, the total effective rate between the two groups was significantly different ($P<0.05$). Before treatment, there were no significant differences in serum T₄, TSH and adiponectin between the experimental group and the control group. After treatment, serum T₄ and adiponectin in experimental group and control group decreased with time, and TSH in experimental group was lower than control group, and the difference was significant ($P<0.05$). Before treatment, there was no significant difference in serum FBG and PBG between the experimental group and the control group. After treatment, serum FBG and PBG in experimental group and control group decreased with the passage of time, and the difference was significant ($P<0.05$). Before treatment, there were no significant differences in serum HOMA-IR and HOMA-β between the experimental group and the control group. After treatment, serum HOMA-IR and HOMA-β in both experimental and control groups decreased with time, and the difference was significant ($P<0.05$). The total incidence of adverse reactions between the two groups was 3.77% and 9.62%, with no significant difference ($P>0.05$). **Conclusion:** The adjuvant treatment of acarbose in diabetic patients with hyperthyroidism has a significant effect,

* 基金项目:河北省科技计划项目(16277135)

作者简介:刘彤(1985-),女,本科,主治医师,研究方向:糖尿病、甲状腺疾病,电话:15933798579,E-mail: zhongliuhainan@163.com

△ 通讯作者:王鹏(1977-),男,本科,主管药师,研究方向:药学,电话:18661809821,E-mail: zhongliuhainan@163.com

(收稿日期:2021-08-26 接受日期:2021-09-22)

which can effectively improve the levels of T₄, TSH and adiponectin in patients.

Key words: Acarbose; Adjuvant therapy; Diabetes; Hyperthyroidism; Thyroxine; Thyroid stimulating hormone; Adiponectin

Chinese Library Classification(CLC): R587.1; R581 Document code: A

Article ID: 1673-6273(2022)07-1371-04

前言

甲状腺功能亢进是内分泌常见疾病,是由于多种致病因素导致甲状腺激素异常分泌过高引起的,可加重患者循环、神经系统负担,对机体的生长、发育造成重要的影响,影响患者日常生活^[1-3]。糖尿病是由胰岛素不足所致的代谢紊乱综合征,而甲状腺功能亢进患者多存在糖代谢异常,有研究显示,糖尿病患者并发甲状腺功能异常的发生率较非糖尿病患者高,二种疾病相互促进,对临床治疗带来较大困难^[4-5]。临床治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进主要以甘精胰岛素为主,但部分患者治疗后会出现血糖异常波动,治疗效果不佳^[6]。阿卡波糖是一种α-葡萄糖苷酶抑制剂,可抑制各种α-葡萄糖苷酶活性,减缓肠道葡萄糖的吸收,从而控制血糖水平^[7-8]。有研究显示,糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的发生可导致T₄、脂联素升高,促进疾病的进展^[9]。本研究旨在探讨阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的效果,并分析其对T₄、TSH及脂联素的影响。

1 资料与方法

1.1 一般资料

选择2019年1月-2021年1月在我院接受治疗的105例糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进患者,采用随机数表法分为2组,试验组53例,男25例,女28例,年龄36~65岁,平均(52.15±3.16)岁,糖尿病病程1~13年,平均(6.89±1.24)年,甲亢病程1~9月,平均(5.26±1.21)月。对照组52例,男26例,女26例,年龄35~66岁,平均(52.21±3.17)岁,糖尿病病程1~12年,平均(6.81±1.22)年,甲亢病程1~10月,平均(5.31±1.15)月。两组一般资料无显著差异($P>0.05$),可比较。

参照《中国2型糖尿病防治指南》^[10]中的诊断标准;空腹血糖大于5.1 mmol/L。甲状腺亢进:代谢性亢进;皮肤潮湿、多汗;

甲状腺多呈弥漫性肿大,质地软或坚韧。

纳入标准:(1)符合相关标准;(2)肝肾功能正常;(3)无胰岛素治疗史;(4)相关指标数据完整;(5)知情同意。排除标准:(1)出血性疾病者;(2)心功能异常者;(3)近3个月有抗生素治疗者;(4)严重自身免疫性疾病;(5)妊娠、哺乳期者;(6)对本研究药物过敏者。

1.2 方法

对照组给予甲巯咪唑(规格20 mg,厂家:Merck KGaA, Darmstadt,国药准字J20171078)20 mg口服治疗,1d1次;甘精胰岛素(规格3.64 mg,厂家:Aventis Pharma Deutschland GmbH,国药准字S20140005)10U睡前注射。试验组在对照组的基础上给予阿卡波糖:(规格:50 mg;生产厂家:拜耳医药保健有限公司;国药准字:H19990205)50 mg,餐前口服,每日3次。

1.3 观察指标

采集肘静脉血4 mL,采用酶联免疫吸附试验测定T₄、TSH、脂联素、FBG、PBG水平;记录治疗前后Homa-IR、Homa-β;记录不良反应。

疗效评定标准:显效:血糖、血压各项恢复正常,症状消失;有效:症状消失,血红蛋白小于6.2%;无效:无明显改善或加重。

1.4 统计学分析

以spss22.0软件包处理,符合正态分布计量资料用均数±标准差($\bar{x} \pm s$)表示,组间比较使用独立样本t检验,计数资料以率表示, χ^2 检验, $P<0.05$ 表示差异具有统计学意义。

2 结果

2.1 治疗后两组临床治疗效果评价

治疗后,两组总有效率比较差异显著($P<0.05$)见表1。

表1 治疗后两组临床治疗效果评价[n(%)]

Table 1 Clinical efficacy evaluation of the two groups after treatment[n(%)]

Groups	n	Excellent	valid	Invalid	Total effective rate
Experimental group	53	24(45.28)	25(47.17)	4(7.55)	49(92.45)
Control group	52	29(55.77)	11(21.15)	12(23.08)	40(76.92)
χ^2 value					4.901
P value					0.027

2.2 治疗前后T₄、TSH及脂联素水平比较

治疗前,试验组和对照组血清T₄、TSH及脂联素比较无显著差异;治疗后,试验组和对照组血清T₄及脂联素均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,TSH随着时间的推移而升高,且试验组高于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$),见表2。

2.3 治疗前后血糖水平比较

治疗前,试验组和对照组血清FBG、PBG比较无显著差

异;治疗后,试验组和对照组血清FBG、PBG均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$),见表3。

2.4 治疗前后Homa-IR、Homa-β水平比较

治疗前,试验组和对照组血清Homa-IR、Homa-β比较无显著差异;治疗后,试验组和对照组血清Homa-IR、Homa-β均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,差异显著($P<0.05$),见表4。

表 2 治疗前后 T₄、TSH 及脂联素水平比较($\bar{x} \pm s$)
Table 2 Comparison of T₄, TSH and adiponectin levels before and after treatment($\bar{x} \pm s$)

Groups	n	T ₄ (nmol/L)		TSH(U/L)		adiponectin(mg/dl)	
		Before the intervention	After the intervention	Before the intervention	After the intervention	Before the intervention	After the intervention
Experimental group	53	273.38±31.05	121.78±11.04	0.57±0.15	3.93±1.21	289.48±40.56	214.56±40.15
Control group	52	269.41±30.89	156.51±14.67	0.52±0.16	2.41±1.50	290.15±50.89	256.63±40.78
t value		0.657	13.724	1.652	5.720	0.075	5.327
P value		0.513	0.000	0.102	0.000	0.941	0.000

表 3 治疗前后血糖水平比较($\bar{x} \pm s$, mmol/L)
Table 3 Comparison of blood glucose levels before and after treatment($\bar{x} \pm s$, mmol/L)

Groups	n	FBG		PBG	
		Before the intervention	After the intervention	Before the intervention	After the intervention
Experimental group	53	10.18±1.48	6.31±1.04	12.51±2.06	9.51±1.71
Control group	52	10.21±1.51	8.09±1.22	12.47±2.07	10.82±1.67
t value		0.103	8.051	0.099	3.971
P value		0.918	0.000	0.921	0.000

表 4 治疗前后 Homa-IR、Homa-β 水平比较($\bar{x} \pm s$, pmol/L)
Table 4 Comparison of thyroid hormone levels before and after treatment($\bar{x} \pm s$, pmol/L)

Groups	n	Homa-IR		Homa-β	
		Before the intervention	After the intervention	Before the intervention	After the intervention
Experimental group	53	4.75±0.86	2.52±0.45	40.93±7.16	66.37±11.13
Control group	52	4.81±0.92	3.45±0.66	41.32±7.24	52.68±9.21
t value		0.314	7.911	0.251	6.095
P value		0.754	0.000	0.802	0.000

2.5 安全性评价

(P>0.05), 见表 5。

两组不良反应总发生率为 3.77%、9.62%，无显著差异

表 5 安全性评价[n(%)]
Table 5 Safety evaluation[n(%)]

Groups	n	Low blood sugar	Nausea	Thyroid disorder	The total incidence of
Experimental group	53	1	1	0	2(3.77)
Control group	52	2	1	2	5(9.62)
χ^2 value					1.439
P value					0.230

3 讨论

糖尿病主要表现为血糖持续升高，致残率和死亡率较高，死亡率仅次于心血管疾病和肿瘤，甲状腺功能亢进是糖尿病常见的并发症，若机体长期处于高血糖会兴奋甲状腺功能，调节胰岛 β 细胞功能，进一步使血糖升高，形成恶性循环^[11,12]。据调查显示，糖尿病和甲状腺功能亢进具有相似的免疫学及遗传学基础，近年来随着人们生活方式的改变，糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进发病率逐渐升高，会加重患者机体损伤程度，危及患者

生命^[13]。有学者认为^[14,15]，糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的原因可能是：(1) 甲状腺激素具有拮抗胰岛素的作用，可导致血糖增高，而当病情得到控制后血糖水平恢复正常；(2) 甲状腺功能亢进与糖尿病遗传缺陷存在于同一染色体上，而甲状腺功能亢进可加重糖尿病病情，因此控制甲亢对缓解糖尿病具有重要意义。甘精胰岛素是一种新的长效胰岛素类似物，是目前治疗糖尿病的常用药物，可调节患者机体糖代谢过程，从患者脂肪、骨骼肌等组织中摄取到葡萄糖，抑制肝葡萄糖的形成，达到控制血糖的效果^[16-18]。但有研究显示，单独使用甘精胰岛素治疗糖尿

病合并甲状腺功能亢进部分患者血糖控制效果欠佳,需联合其他药物辅助治疗提高临床治疗效果^[19]。

阿卡波糖是一种新型口服降糖药,可缓解糖耐量受损,延缓碳水化合物吸收,降低肠道葡萄糖水平,控制餐后血糖,可降低空腹血糖和糖化血红蛋白的浓度,具有平稳降糖效果^[20,21]。有研究显示,阿卡波糖具有较高的安全性,可促进分泌胆囊收缩素,减少糖类摄入,发挥降血糖作用^[22]。本研究结果显示,阿卡波糖辅助治疗的患者总有效率高于对照组,且两组患者不良反应总发生率为3.77%、9.62%,无明显差异,结果提示,阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进效果显著,能提高临床治疗效果,且不会增加不良反应发生率。Ahmed A M^[23]等研究也显示,阿卡波糖辅助治疗可通过降低患者体内炎症反应,缓解糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进的临床症状,与本研究结果相似。

有研究显示,甲状腺功能异常与糖尿病及甲状腺功能亢进均有密切关系,甲状腺激素水平异常是糖尿病的发病原因之一,糖尿病较其他患者更易出现甲状腺异常,从而反过来影响体内脂肪、糖代谢,从而促进糖尿病的发展^[24,25]。T₄、TSH是临床常用的甲状腺激素指标,其中T₄是甲状腺所分泌的唯一直接在细胞水平发挥生理作用的激素;TSH是由腺垂体分泌的激素,受下丘脑分泌的促甲状腺激素释放激素的影响,能控制、调节甲状腺的活动^[26-28]。脂联素是由白色脂肪组织特异性分泌的,主要分布于血液循环中,是脂肪组织分泌的唯一对人体有益的细胞因子,具有调节血糖血脂的作用,改善改善胰岛素抵抗^[29]。有研究显示,甲状腺功能异常与脂肪因子之间也存在着密切联系,可能参与了糖尿病等代谢性疾病的发生^[30]。本研究结果显示,治疗后,患者血清T₄及脂联素均随着时间的推移而降低,且试验组均低于对照组,TSH随着时间的推移而升高,且试验组高于对照组,进一步提示了阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进可改善患者甲状腺激素水平,Hu C^[31]等研究也显示,阿卡波糖可降低机体糖分吸收率,改善甲状腺功能,与本研究结果相似。分析其原因可能是因为阿卡波糖能改善甲状腺功能储备,减少机体对甲状腺激素的需求,从而改善甲状腺功能。本研究还显示,治疗后患者血清FBG、PBG明显降低,且阿卡波糖辅助治疗的患者低于对照组,提示,阿卡波糖治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进效果显著,能降低患者体内血糖水平,对促进疾病的恢复具有重要意义,分析其原因可能是因为甲状腺功能亢进可导致患者体内甲状腺激素分泌过多,导致患者体内蛋白质、脂肪利用度高,导致血糖升高,而阿卡波糖联合甘精胰岛素可发挥药物协同作用,通过降低葡萄糖苷水解酶活性,从而降低机体糖分吸收率,从而控制血糖水平。本研究结果还显示,治疗后患者Homa-IR均显著减低,试验组低于对照组,Homa-β显著升高,试验组高于对照组,进一步说明阿卡波糖辅助治疗糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进可改善患者胰岛素功能。

综上所述,在糖尿病合并甲状腺功能亢进中应用阿卡波糖辅助治疗疗效显著,可有效改善患者T₄、TSH及脂联素水平。

参考文献(References)

- [1] Bree L, Gallagher B A, Shiel R E, et al. Prevalence and risk factors for hyperthyroidism in Irish cats from the greater Dublin area [J]. Irish Veterinary Journal, 2018, 71(1): 2
- [2] Yatavelli, Rajini, K, et al. Transient Hyperthyroidism Induced by Thyroid Ultrasound [J]. The Annals of otology, rhinology, and laryngology, 2018, 127(8): 558-562
- [3] Day A J, Yeh M W, Livhitis M J. Surgery for Hyperthyroidism Lowers Cardiovascular Mortality Compared with Radioactive Iodine [J]. Clinical Thyroidology, 2018, 30(1): 15-17
- [4] Nguyen C T, Sasso E B, Barton L, et al. Graves' hyperthyroidism in pregnancy: a clinical review [J]. Clinical Diabetes & Endocrinology, 2018, 4(1):4
- [5] Ahn J H, Jeon J P, Kim J E, et al. Association of Hyperthyroidism and Thyroid Autoantibodies with Moyamoya Disease and Its Stroke Event: A Population-based Case-control Study and Meta-analysis[J]. Neurologia medico-chirurgica, 2018, 58(3): 116-123
- [6] Zheng Y, Zhao C, Zhang N, et al. Serum microRNA miR-206 is decreased in hyperthyroidism and mediates thyroid hormone regulation of lipid metabolism in HepG2 human hepatoblastoma cells [J]. Molecular Medicine Reports, 2018, 17(4): 5635-5641
- [7] Jie-Eun L, Hwa L D, Jung O T, et al. Validity and Reliability of the Korean Version of the Hyperthyroidism Symptom Scale [J]. Endocrinol Metab, 2018, 33(1): 70-78
- [8] Baltaci A K, Mogulkoc R. Leptin, neuropeptide Y (NPY), melatonin and zinc levels in experimental hypothyroidism and hyperthyroidism: relation with melatonin and the pineal gland [J]. Hormone molecular biology and clinical investigation, 2018, 34(3): 69-75
- [9] Lyssens A, Berg M F, Peremans K, et al. 131I treatment in dogs with hyperthyroidism caused by a non-resectable ectopic thyroid tumour: 5 cases (2008-2019)[J]. Journal of Small Animal Practice, 2020, 62(2): 137-144
- [10] Chinese Medical Association Diabetes Association. Guideline for prevention and treatment of type 2 diabetes in China (2017 Edition) [J]. China Journal of practical internal medicine, 2018, 38 (04): 292-344
- [11] Fatourechi V. Is Sex A Predictor of Outcome of Antithyroid Therapy of Graves Hyperthyroidism - ScienceDirect [J]. Endocrine Practice, 2019, 25(1): 109-111
- [12] Yahong, Qin, Liang, et al. Methodological Quality Assessment of Meta-Analyses of Hyperthyroidism Treatment [J]. HORMONE AND METABOLIC RESEARCH, 2018, 50(1): 8-16
- [13] Vagney, Marie, Desquillet, et al. Survival times for cats with hyperthyroidism treated with a 3.35 mCi iodine-131 dose: a retrospective study of 96 cases [J]. JOURNAL OF FELINE MEDICINE AND SURGERY, 2018, 20(6): 528-534
- [14] Rajapreyar I, Acharya D, José Tallaj, et al. Left Ventricular Assist Device Thrombosis-Amiodarone-Induced Hyperthyroidism: Causal Link? [J]. ASAIO Journal, 2018, 65(2): 1
- [15] Peterson M E, Varela F V, Rishniw M, et al. Evaluation of Serum Symmetric Dimethylarginine Concentration as a Marker for Masked Chronic Kidney Disease in Cats With Hyperthyroidism [J]. Journal of Veterinary Internal Medicine, 2018, 32(1): 295-304
- [16] Dominko A. Seasonal variation in thyroid gland hormone levels[J]. BMJ,2021, 320(7245): 1332-1334
- [17] Tohidi M, Derakhshan A, Akbarpour S, et al. Thyroid Dysfunction States and Incident Cardiovascular Events: The Tehran Thyroid Study [J]. Hormone & Metabolic Research, 2018, 50(01): 37-43

- [12] Guliev B, Komyakov B, Talyshinskii A, et al. Interior definition of the calyceal orientation suitable for percutaneous nephrolithotripsy via mobile software[J]. Urolithiasis, 2021, 49(5): 443-449
- [13] 习明, 程璐, 江文聪, 等. 老年尿路感染患者血清 PCT、CRP 水平变化及其临床意义[J]. 医学临床研究, 2019, 36(3): 498-500
- [14] 李艳玲, 安山, 冯文静, 等. 降钙素原及炎性指标在 ICU 导管相关尿路感染患者中的表达意义分析 [J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2017, 27(8): 1743-1746
- [15] 赵向伟, 孟丽. CRP、u-mAlb 水平变化对早期诊断糖尿病合并尿路感染的特异性、敏感性及其意义分析 [J]. 实验与检验医学, 2019, 37(2): 168-171
- [16] 潘珏蓉, 韦卉, 陈滢, 等. 血清中糖化血红蛋白和白蛋白水平预测妊娠期糖尿病产妇剖宫产术后感染风险的临床价值[J]. 河北医学, 2021, 27(7): 1205-1209
- [17] 邓道庭, 柳俊喜, 张红. 尿肝素结合蛋白和尿白细胞酯酶检测在经尿道前列腺切除术后尿路感染诊断中的应用 [J]. 中国性科学, 2020, 29(11): 20-22
- [18] 袁明生. 尿沉渣法和尿干化学法结果在尿路感染诊断中的初筛价值研究[J]. 国际检验医学杂志, 2014, 35(16): 2218-2219
- [19] 陈薇, 向锁玉, 曹阳. 泌尿外科患者输尿管支架置入术后发生尿路感染的危险因素及病原菌分析[J]. 中华临床感染病杂志, 2019, 12 (5): 344-34
- [20] 李新亮, 杨思伟, 郭艳, 等. 经皮肾镜微创取石术后尿路感染危险因素及血清 HMGB1、TLR4、NF- κ B 水平[J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2021, 31(2): 249-253
- [21] 王赛赛, 季伟丹, 孙永宁. 前列腺增生手术与非手术患者尿路感染病原菌分布及菌株耐药性比较 [J]. 中国卫生检验杂志, 2021, 31 (1): 79-82, 85
- [22] 胡月, 郑婷婷, 汪俊萍, 等. TLR5 基因多态性与泌尿外科术后留置导尿管相关尿路感染的关联 [J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2021, 31 (21): 3307-3311
- [23] 严树科, 王玉琴, 童玲, 等. 宫颈癌术后导尿管相关尿路感染病原菌及其影响因素 [J]. 中华医院感染学杂志, 2021, 31 (12): 1907-1910
- [24] 连学雄, 李峰, 赵仕佳, 等. 复杂性肾结石患者经皮肾镜碎石术后并发尿路感染危险因素分析 [J]. 微创泌尿外科杂志, 2021, 10(4): 221-225
- [25] 莫秀苓, 房艳红. T2DM 并发尿路感染患者血糖、氧化应激及 Th 细胞亚群水平变化[J]. 江苏医药, 2021, 47(9): 944-947
- [26] 曾滔, 安凌悦, 吴伟宙, 等. 结石细菌培养在 PCNL 术后感染性并发症治疗中的作用[J]. 中华泌尿外科杂志, 2020, 41(4): 251-255
- [27] 谢林国, 解海杰, 杨雄, 等. 枸橼酸氢钾钠在大负荷肾尿酸结石治疗中的应用(附 6 例报告并文献复习)[J]. 中华泌尿外科杂志, 2021, 42(1): 33-37
- [28] 杨泽松, 叶烈夫, 胡敏雄, 等. 上尿路结石腔内碎石术后感染危险因素及建立术前预警评分系统的临床研究[J]. 中华泌尿外科杂志, 2016, 37(10): 781-785
- [29] 魏楠, 王力红, 赵霞, 等. 老年患者导尿管相关尿路感染风险预测评分模型构建与验证 [J]. 中国感染控制杂志, 2018, 17(10): 907-912
- [30] 叶倩倩, 伍列林, 朱笔嵩, 等. 脑死亡器官捐献肾移植术后发生尿路感染的危险因素及其对移植肾功能的影响 [J]. 中南大学学报(医学版), 2021, 46(11): 1220-1226

(上接第 1374 页)

- [18] Crisafulli G, Gallizzi R, Aversa T, et al. Thyroid function test evolution in children with Hashimoto's thyroiditis is closely conditioned by the biochemical picture at diagnosis[J]. Italian Journal of Pediatrics, 2018, 44(1): 22
- [19] Weng C H, Chen Y H, Lin C H, et al. Thyroid disorders and breast cancer risk in Asian population: a nationwide population-based case-control study in Taiwan[J]. Bmj Open, 2018, 8(3): e020194
- [20] Lima M J, Soares V, Koch P, et al. Autonomously hyperfunctioning cystic nodule harbouring thyroid carcinoma - Case report and literature review [J]. International Journal of Surgery Case Reports, 2018, 42(C): 287-289
- [21] Binz-Siegmann K. Amiodaron-induzierte Schilddrüsenprobleme: optimales Management[J]. Praxis, 2018, 107(1): 25
- [22] Beck-Peccoz P, Giavoli C, Lania A. A 2019 update on TSH-secreting pituitary adenomas [J]. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation, 2019, 42(12): 1401-1406
- [23] Ahmed A M. History of Diabetes Mellitus[J]. Saudi Medical Journal, 2020, 23(4): 373-378
- [24] Rowan J A, Rush E C, Plank L D, et al. Metformin in gestational diabetes: the offspring follow-up (MiG TOFU): body composition and metabolic outcomes at 7-9 years of age [J]. Bmj Open Diabetes Research & Care, 2018, 6(1): e000456
- [25] Cefalu W T, Kaul S, Gerstein H C, et al. Cardiovascular Outcomes Trials in Type 2 Diabetes: Where Do We Go From Here? Reflections From a Diabetes Care Editors' Expert Forum[J]. Diabetes Care, 2018, 41(1): 14-31
- [26] Hui H, Li Y, Fang J, et al. Gut Microbiota and Type 1 Diabetes[J]. International Journal of Molecular Sciences, 2018, 19(4): 995
- [27] Mohan A R, Ranjit U, Poongkunran M, et al. Causes and predictors of mortality in Asian Indians with and without diabetes-10 year follow-up of the Chennai Urban Rural Epidemiology Study (CURES - 150)[J]. Plos One, 2018, 13(7): e0197376
- [28] Ola S H, Egede L E, Joelle A K, et al. Psychometric properties of the Arabic version of the 12-item diabetes fatalism scale [J]. Plos One, 2018, 13(1): e0190719
- [29] Zhao L, Zhang F, Ding X, et al. Gut bacteria selectively promoted by dietary fibers alleviate type 2 diabetes [J]. Science, 2018, 359(6380): 1151-1156
- [30] Bingley P J, Wherrett D K, Shultz A, et al. Type 1 Diabetes TrialNet: A Multifaceted Approach to Bringing Disease-Modifying Therapy to Clinical Use in Type 1 Diabetes [J]. Diabetes Care, 2018, 41 (4): 653-661
- [31] Hu C, Jia W. Diabetes in China: Epidemiology and Genetic Risk Factors and Their Clinical Utility in Personalized Medication [J]. Diabetes, 2018, 67(1): 3-11